Uranium Report 2022

Everything you need to know about uranium!

SRC swiss resource capital ag

Disclaimer

Dear reader,

Please read the complete disclaimer in the following pages carefully before you start reading this Swiss Resource Capital Publication. By using this Swiss Resource Capital Publication you agree that you have completely understood the following disclaimer and you agree completely with this disclaimer. If at least one of these point does not agree with you than reading and use of this publication is not allowed.

We point out the following:

Swiss Resource Capital AG and the authors of the Swiss Resource Capital AG directly own and/or indirectly own shares of following Companies which are described in this publication: Anfield Energy, Blue Sky Uranium, Consolidated Uranium, GoviEx Uranium, Labrador Uranium, Skyharbour Resources, Uranium Energy, Uranium Royalty.

Swiss Resource Capital AG has closed IR consultant contracts with the following companies which are mentioned in this publication: Consolidated Uranium, Labrador Uranium, Uranium Energy.

Swiss Resource Capital AG receives compensation expenses from the following companies mentioned in this publication: Anfield Energy, Blue Sky Uranium, Consolidated Uranium, GoviEx Uranium, Labrador Uranium, Skyharbour Resources, Uranium Energy, Uranium Royalty.

Therefore, all mentioned companies are sponsors of this publication.

Risk Disclosure and Liability

Swiss Resource Capital AG is not a securities service provider according to WpHG (Germany) and BörseG (Austria) as well as Art. 620 to 771 obligations law (Switzerland) and is not a finance company according to § 1 Abs. 3 Nr. 6 KWG. All publications of the Swiss Resource Capital AG are explicitly (including all the publications published on the website http://www.resource-capital.ch and all sub-websites (like http://www.resource-capital.ch/de) and the website http://www.resource-capital.ch itself and its sub-websites) neither financial analysis nor are they equal to a professional financial analysis. Instead, all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG are exclusively for information purposes only and are expressively not trading recommendations regarding the buying or selling of securities. All publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG represent only the opinion of the respective author. They are neither explicitly nor implicitly to be understood as guarantee of a particular price development of the mentioned financial instruments or as a trading invitation. Every investment in securities mentioned in publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG involve risks which could lead to total a loss of the invested capital and – depending on the investment – to further obligations for example additional payment liabilities. In general, purchase and sell orders should always be limited for your own protection.

This applies especially to all second-line-stocks in the small and micro cap sector and especially to exploration and resource companies which are discussed in the publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG and are exclusively suitable for speculative and risk aware investors. But it applies to all other securities as well. Every exchange participant trades at his own risk. The information in the publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG do not replace an on individual needs geared professional investment advice. In spite of careful research, neither the respective author nor Swiss Resource Capital AG will neither guarantee nor assume liability for actuality, correctness, mistakes, accuracy, completeness, adequacy or quality of the presented information. For pecuniary losses resulting from investments in securities for which information was available in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG liability will be assumed neither by Swiss Capital Resource AG nor by the respective author neither explicitly nor implicitly.

Any investment in securities involves risks. Political, economical or other changes can lead to significant stock price losses and in the worst case to a total loss of the invested capital and – depending on the investment – to further obligations for example additional payment liabilities. Especially investments in (foreign) second-line-stocks, in the small and micro cap sector, and especially in the exploration and resource companies are all, in general, associated with an outstandingly high risk. This market segment is characterized by a high volatility and harbours danger of a total loss of the invested capital and – depending on the investment – to further obligations for example additional payment liabilities. As well, small and micro caps are often very illiquid and every order should be strictly limited and, due to an often better pricing at the respective domestic exchange, should be traded there. An investment in securities with low liquidity and small market cap is extremely speculative as well as a high risk and can lead to, in the worst case, a total loss of the invested capital and – depending on the investment – to further obligations for example additional payment liabilities. Engagements in the publications of the shares and products presented in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG have in part foreign exchange risks. The deposit portion of single shares of small and micro cap companies and low capitalized securities like derivatives and leveraged products should only be as high that, in case of a possible total loss, the deposit will only marginally lose in value.

All publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG are exclusively for information purposes only. All information and data in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG are obtained from sources which are deemed reliable and trustworthy by Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors at the time of preparation. Swiss Resource Capital AG and all Swiss Resource Capital AG employed or engaged persons have worked for the preparation of all of the published contents with the greatest possible diligence to guarantee that the used and underlying data as well as facts are complete and accurate and the used estimates and made forecasts are realistic. Therefore, liability is categorically precluded for pecuniary losses which could potentially result from use of the information for one's own investment decision.

All information published in publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG reflects the opinion of the respective author or third parties at the time of reparation of the publication. Neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the respective authors can be held responsible for any resulting pecuniary losses. All information is subject to change. Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors assures that only sources which are deemed reliable and trustworthy by Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors at the time of preparation are used. Although the assessments and statements in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG were prepared with due diligence, neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the respective authors take any responsibility or liability for the actuality, correctness, mistakes, accuracy, completeness, adequacy or quality of the presented facts or for omissions or incorrect information. The same shall apply for all presentations, numbers, designs and assessments expressed in interviews and videos.

Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors are not obliged to update information in publications. Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors explicitly point out that changes in the used and underlying data, facts, as well as in the estimates could have an impact on the forecasted share price development or the overall estimate of the discussed security. The statements and opinions of Swiss Capital Resource AG as well as the respective author are not recommendations to buy or sell a security.

Neither by subscription nor by use of any publication of Swiss Resource Capital AG or by expressed recommendations or reproduced opinions in such a publication will result in an investment advice contract or investment brokerage contract between Swiss Resource Capital AG or the respective author and the subscriber of this publication.

Investments in securities with low liquidity and small market cap are extremely speculative as well as a high risk. Due to the speculative nature of the presented companies their securities or other financial products it is quite possible that investments can lead to a capital reduction or to a total loss and – depending on the investment – to further obligations for example additional payment liabilities. Any investment in warrants, leveraged certificates or other financial products bears an extremely high risk. Due to political, economical or other changes significant stock price losses can arise and in the worst case a total loss of the invested capital and – depending on the investment – to further obligations for example additional payment liabilities. Any liability claim for foreign share recommendations, derivatives and fund recommendations are in principle ruled out by Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors. Between the readers as well as the subscribers and the authors as well as Swiss Resource Capital AG no consultancy agreement is closed by subscription of a publication of Swiss Resource Capital AG because all information contained in such a publication refer to the respective company but not to the investment decision. Publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG are neither, direct or indirect an offer to buy or for the sale of the discussed security (securities), nor an invitation for the purchase or sale of securities in general. An investment decision regarding any security should not be based on any publication of Swiss Resource Capital AG.

Publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG must not, either in whole or in part be used as a base for a binding contract of all kinds or used as reliable in such a context. Swiss Resource Capital AG is not responsible for consequences especially losses, which arise or could arise by the use or the failure of the application of the views and conclusions in the publications. Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors do not guarantee that the expected profits or mentioned share prices will be achieved.

The reader is strongly encouraged to examine all assertions him/herself. An investment, presented by Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors in partly very speculative shares and financial products should not be made without reading the most current balance sheets as well as assets and liabilities reports of the companies at the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under www.sec.gov or other regulatory authorities or carrying out other company evaluations. Neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the respective authors will guarantee that the expected profits or mentioned share prices will be achieved. Neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the respective authors are professional investment or financial advisors. The reader should take advice (e. g. from the principle bank or a trusted advisor) before any investment decision. To reduce risk investors should largely diversify their investments.

In addition, Swiss Resource Capital AG welcomes and supports the journalistic principles of conduct and recommendations of the German press council for the economic and financial market reporting and within the scope of its responsibility will look out that these principles and recommendations are respected by employees, authors and editors.

Forward-looking Information

Information and statements in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG especially in (translated) press releases that are not historical facts are forward-looking information within the meaning of applicable securities laws. They contain risks and uncertainties but not limited to current expectations of the company concerned, the stock concerned or the respective security as well as intentions, plans and opinions. Forward-looking information can often contain words like “expect”, “believe”, “assume”, “goal”, “plan”, “objective”, “intent”, “estimate”, “can”, “should”, “may” and “will” or the negative forms of these expressions or similar words suggesting future events or expectations, ideas, plans, objectives, intentions or statements of future events or performances. Examples for forward-looking information in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG include: production guidelines, estimates of future/targeted production rates as well as plans and timing regarding further exploration, drill and development activities. This forward-looking information is based in part on assumption and factors that can change or turn out to be incorrect and therefore may cause actual results, performances or successes to differ materially from those stated or postulated in such forward-looking statements. Such factors and assumption include but are not limited to: failure of preparation of resource and reserve estimates, grade, ore recovery that differs from the estimates, the success of future exploration and drill programs, the reliability of the drill, sample and analytical data, the assumptions regarding the accuracy of the representativeness of the mineralization, the success of the planned metallurgical test work, the significant deviation of capital and operating costs from the estimates, failure to receive necessary government approval and environmental permits or other project permits, changes of foreign exchange rates, fluctuations of commodity prices, delays by project developments and other factors.

Potential shareholders and prospective investors should be aware that these statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Such factors include but are not limited to the following: risks regarding the inaccuracy of the mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates, fluctuations of the gold price, risks and dangers in connection with mineral exploration, development and mining, risks regarding the creditworthiness or the financial situation of the supplier, the refineries and other parties that are doing business with the company; the insufficient insurance coverage or the failure to receive insurance coverage to cover these risks and dangers, the relationship with employees; relationships with and the demands from the local communities and the indigenous population; political risks; the availability and rising costs in connection with the mining contributions and workforce; the speculative nature of mineral exploration and development including risks of receiving and maintaining the necessary licences and permits, the decreasing quantities and grades of mineral reserves during mining; the global financial situation, current results of the current exploration activities, changes in the final results of the economic assessments and changes of the project parameter to include unexpected economic factors and other factors, risks of increased capital and operating costs, environmental, security and authority risks, expropriation, the tenure of the company to properties including their ownership, increase in competition in the mining industry for properties, equipment, qualified personal

and its costs, risks regarding the uncertainty of the timing of events including the increase of the targeted production rates and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The shareholders are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information. By its nature, forward-looking information involves numerous assumptions, inherent risks and uncertainties both general and specific that contribute to the possibility that the predictions, forecasts, projections and various future events will not occur. Neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the referred to company, referred to stock or referred to security undertake no obligation to update publicly otherwise revise any forward-looking information whether as a result of new information, future events or other such factors which affect this information, except as required by law.

48f Abs. 5 BörseG (Austria) and Art. 620 to 771 obligations law (Switzerland)

Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors of all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG could have been hired and compensated by the respective company or related third party for the preparation, the electronic distribution and publication of the respective publication and for other services. Therefore the possibility exists for a conflict of interests.

At any time Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors of all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG could hold long and short positions in the described securities and options, futures and other derivatives based on theses securities. Furthermore Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors of all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG reserve the right to buy or sell at any time presented securities and options, futures and other derivatives based on theses securities. Therefore the possibility exists for a conflict of interests.

Single statements to financial instruments made by publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG and the respective authors within the scope of the respective offered charts are not trading recommendations and are not equivalent to a financial analysis.

A disclosure of the security holdings of Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors and/or compensations of Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors by the company or third parties related to the respective publication will be properly declared in the publication or in the appendix.

The share prices of the discussed financial instruments in the respective publications are, if not clarified, the closing prices of the preceding trading day or more recent prices before the respective publication.

It cannot be ruled out that the interviews and estimates published in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG were commissioned and paid for by the respective company or related third parties. Swiss Resource Capital AG as well as the respective authors are receiving from the discussed companies and related third parties directly or indirectly expense allowances for the preparation and the electronic distribution of the publication as well as for other services.

Exploitation and distribution rights

Publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG may neither directly or indirectly be transmitted to Great Britain, Japan, USA or Canada or to an US citizen or a person with place of residence in the USA, Japan, Canada or Great Britain nor brought or distributed in their territory. The publications and their contained information can only be distributed or published in such states where it is legal by applicable law. US citizens are subject to regulation S of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 and cannot have access. In Great Britain the publications can only be accessible to a person who in terms of the Financial Services Act 1986 is authorized or exempt. If these restrictions are not respected this can be perceived as a violation against the respective state laws of the mentioned countries and possibly of non mentioned countries. Possible resulting legal and liability claims shall be incumbent upon that person, but not Swiss Resource Capital, who has published the publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG in the mentioned countries and regions or has made available the publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG to persons from these countries and regions.

The use of any publication of Swiss Resource Capital AG is intended for private use only. Swiss Resource Capital AG shall be notified in advance or asked for permission if the publications will be used professionally which will be charged.

All information from third parties especially the estimates provided by external user does not reflect the opinion of Swiss Resource Capital AG. Consequently, Swiss Resource Capital AG does not guarantee the actuality, correctness, mistakes, accuracy, completeness, adequacy or quality of the information.

Note to symmetrical information and opinion generation

Swiss Resource Capital AG can not rule out that other market letters, media or research companies are discussing concurrently the shares, companies and financial products which are presented in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG. This can lead to symmetrical information and opinion generation during that time period.

No guarantee for share price forecasts

In all critical diligence regarding the compilation and review of the sources used by Swiss Resource Capital AG like SEC Filings, official company news or interview statements of the respective management neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the respective authors can guarantee the correctness, accuracy and completeness of the facts presented in the sources. Neither Swiss Resource Capital AG nor the respective authors will guarantee or be liable for that all assumed share price and profit developments of the respective companies and financial products respectively in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG will be achieved.

No guarantee for share price data

No guarantee is given for the accuracy of charts and data to the commodity, currency and stock markets presented in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG.

Copyright

The copyrights of the single articles are with the respective author. Reprint and/or commercial dissemination and the entry in commercial databases is only permitted with the explicit approval of the respective author or Swiss Resource Capital AG.

All contents published by Swiss Resource Capital AG or under http://www.resource-capital.ch – website and relevant sub-websites or within www.resource-capital.ch – newsletters and by Swiss Resource Capital AG in other media (e.g. Twitter, Facebook, RSS-Feed) are subject to German, Austrian and Swiss copyright and ancillary copyright. Any use which is not approved by German, Austrian and Swiss copyright and ancillary copyright needs first the written consent of the provider or the respective rights owner. This applies especially for reproduction, processing, translation, saving, processing and reproduction of contents in databases or other electronic media or systems. Contents and rights of third parties are marked as such. The unauthorised reproduction or dissemination of single contents and complete pages is not permitted and punishable. Only copies and downloads for personal, private and non commercial use is permitted.

Links to the website of the provider are always welcome and don't need the approval from the website provider. The presentation of this website in external frames is permitted with authorization only. In case of an infringement regarding copyrights Swiss Resource Capital AG will initiate criminal procedure.

Information from the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin)

You can find further information on how to protect yourself against dubious offers in BaFin brochures directly on the website of the authority at www.bafin.de.

Liability limitation for links

The www.resource-capital.ch – website and all sub-websites and the www.resource-capital.ch – newsletter and all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG contain links to websites of third parties ("external links"). These websites are subject to liability of the respective operator. Swiss Resource Capital AG has reviewed the foreign contents at the initial linking with the external links if any statutory violations were present. At that time no statutory violations were evident. Swiss Resource capital AG has no influence on the current and future design and the contents of the linked websites. The placement of external links does not mean that Swiss Resource Capital AG takes ownership of the contents behind the reference or the link. A constant control of these links is not reasonable for Swiss Resource Capital AG without concrete indication of statutory violations. In case of known statutory violations such links will be immediately deleted from the websites of Swiss Resource Capital AG. If you encounter a website of which the content violates applicable law (in any manner) or the content (topics) insults or discriminates individuals or groups of individuals, please contact us immediately.

In its judgement of May 12th, 1998 the Landgericht (district court) Hamburg has ruled that by placing a link one is responsible for the contents of the linked websites. This can only be prevented by explicit dissociation of this content. For all links on the homepage http://www.resource-capital.ch and its sub-websites and in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG applies: Swiss Resource Capital AG is dissociating itself explicitly from all contents of all linked websites on http://www.resource-capital.ch – website and its sub-websites and in the http://www.resource-capital.ch – newsletter as well as all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG and will not take ownership of these contents."

Liability limitation for contents of this website

The contents of the website http://www.resource-capital.ch and its sub-websites are compiled with utmost diligence. Swiss Resource Capital AG however does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness and actuality of the provided contents. The use of the contents of website http://www.resource-capital.ch and its sub-websites is at the user's risk. Specially marked articles reflect the opinion of the respective author but not always the opinion of Swiss Resource Capital AG.

Liability limitation for availability of website

Swiss Resource Capital AG will endeavour to offer the service as uninterrupted as possible. Even with due care downtimes can not be excluded. Swiss Resource Capital AG reserves the right to change or discontinue its service any time.

Liability limitation for advertisements

The respective author and the advertiser are exclusively responsible for the content of advertisements in http://www.resource-capital.ch – website and its sub-websites or in the http://www.resource-capital.ch – newsletter as well as in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG and also for the content of the advertised website and the advertised products and services. The presentation of the advertisement does not constitute the acceptance by Swiss Resource Capital AG.

No contractual relationship

Use of the website http://www.resource-capital.ch and its sub-websites and http://www.resource-capital.ch – newsletter as well as in all publications of Swiss Resource Capital AG no contractual relationship is entered between the user and Swiss Resource Capital AG. In this respect there are no contractual or quasi-contractual claims against Swiss Resource Capital AG.

Protection of personal data

The personalized data (e.g. mail address of contact) will only be used by Swiss Resource Capital AG or from the respective company for news and information transmission in general or used for the respective company.

Data protection

If within the internet there exists the possibility for entry of personal or business data (email addresses, names, addresses), this data will be disclosed only if the user explicitly volunteers. The use and payment for all offered services is permitted – if technical possible and reasonable – without disclosure of these data or by entry of anonymized data or pseudonyms. Swiss Resource Capital AG points out that the data transmission in the internet (e.g. communication by email) can have security breaches. A complete data protection from unauthorized third party access is not possible. Accordingly no liability is assumed for the unintentional transmission of data. The use of contact data like postal addresses, telephone and fax numbers as well as email addresses published in the imprint or similar information by third parties for transmission of not explicitly requested information is not permitted. Legal action against the senders of spam mails are expressly reserved by infringement of this prohibition.

By registering in http://www.resource-capital.ch – website and its sub-websites or in the http://www.resource-capital.ch – newsletter you give us permission to contact you by email. Swiss Resource Capital AG receives and stores automatically via server logs information from your browser including cookie information, IP address and the accessed websites. Reading and accepting our terms of use and privacy statement are a prerequisite for permission to read, use and interact with our website(s).

Table of Contents

Disclaimer 02

Table of Contents I Imprint 07

Preface 09

Uranium price picks up: The classification of nuclear energy as sustainable energy, the budding electrorevolution, uranium funds and the Ukraine war are the main drivers 10

Interview with Dr. Christian Schärer – Manager of the Uranium Resources Fund and Partner of Incrementum AG 21

Interview with Scott Melbye – CEO of Uranium Royalty, Executive Vice President of Uranium Energy and Ex-Advisor to the CEO of Kazatomprom 26

Company Profile

Skyharbour Resources 32

Imprint

Editor
Swiss Resource Capital AG
Poststr. 1
9100 Herisau, Schweiz
Tel : +41 71 354 8501
Fax : +41 71 560 4271
info@resource-capital.ch
www.resource-capital.ch

Editorial staff
Jochen Staiger
Tim Rödel

Layout/Design
Frauke Deutsch

Editorial Deadline: 04/15/2022

Cover: AdobeStock_303118821
Page 13: Blue Sky Uranium
Page 19: NuScale, CC BY-SA 2.0
Page 31: Jörg Blobelt, CC BY-SA 4.0)

Back:
#1: BlueSky Uranium
#2 , # 3: flickr.com/photos/nrcgov
 # 4: rawpixel

All images and graphics are, unless otherwise stated, by the companies.

Charts: 05/02/2022
by JS Charts by amCharts

Preface

Dear Readers,

With this edition of the Uranium Report 2022, we are already in the sixth year of this special report series. And we are now right on target, because uranium has recently shown a lot of relative strength, which can be seen in the great imbalance of falling supply and rising demand at the same time. First and foremost, the uranium ETF Sprott Physical Uranium Trust, but also other market players ensured that the uranium spot market was literally swept dry, the spot price rose to over US$ 60 per pound and the shares of many uranium stocks also shot up. The purpose of these new types of uranium ETFs is very simple: in addition to creating an opportunity for investors to profit directly from the price of uranium, the main aim is to take uranium off the spot market and to force demand-side utilities into negotiations on new long-term contracts.

Because without emission-free and at the same time base-load capable nuclear power, which is based on the „fuel“ uranium, many countries will not only have a huge problem in the stable basic energy supply and, due to the electromobility revolution, a real power supply problem in itself, but will completely lose sight of the goal of a world that is as CO_2-free as possible.

In the future, so-called Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) will play an increasingly important role. These are nuclear fission reactors that are smaller than conventional reactors and can be manufactured in a factory and then transported to an assembly site.

Investors such as Buffett and Gates have long recognized that solar and wind power will not be able to meet baseload requirements until adequately large storage facilities for electricity from renewable energy sources are created, and they have provided the corresponding funds for research and construction of SMRs.

This report is intended to provide interested investors with an overview of the uranium industry and the real facts.

Of course, we also present some interesting companies in the industry with facts and figures. This is to be understood as a suggestion and not as a recommendation to buy, as there are only very few listed companies left at all.

Raw materials are the basis of our entire economic life. Without raw materials, there are no products, no technical innovations and no real economic life. We need a reliable and constant basic energy supply for our highly industrialized world.

Swiss Resource Capital AG has made it its business to provide interested people with comprehensive information about metals, commodities and various listed mining companies. On our website www.resource-capital.ch you will find more than 30 companies from various commodity sectors as well as a lot of information and articles about commodities.

With our special reports we want to give you insights and inform you comprehensively. In addition, you always have the chance to inform yourself free of charge through our two commodity IPTV channels www.Commodity-TV.net & www.Rohstoff-TV.net. For the mobile everyday life, you can download our newly developed Commodity-TV App for iPhone and Android on your smartphone. Here you will get real-time charts, stock prices, indices and the latest videos automatically on your cell phone. My team and I hope you enjoy reading the Special Report Uranium and we hope to provide you with lots of new information, impressions and ideas.

Yours, Jochen Staiger

Jochen Staiger is founder and CEO of Swiss Resource Capital AG, located in Herisau, Switzerland. As chief-editor and founder of the first two resource IP-TV-channels Commodity-TV and its German counterpart Rohstoff-TV, he reports about companies, experts, fund managers and various themes around the international mining business and the correspondent metals.

Tim Rödel is Manager Newsletter, Threads & Special Reports at SRC AG. He has been active in the commodities sector for more than 15 years and accompanied several chief-editor positions, e.g. at Rohstoff-Spiegel, Rohstoff-Woche, Rohstoffraketen, the publications Wahrer Wohlstand and First Mover. He owns an enormous commodity expertise and a wide-spread network within the whole resource sector.

Uranium price picks up:

The classification of nuclear energy as sustainable energy, the budding electrorevolution, uranium funds and the Ukraine war are the main drivers

After years of bobbing around below the US$30 per pound mark, the price of uranium has shot up in recent months, hitting a temporary high of US$63.88 at the beginning of April. This will not be the end of the line, however, as the renaissance of nuclear energy, which requires uranium as fuel, has only just begun. At the latest with the decision of the European Commission in early 2022 to give nuclear energy and natural gas a „climate seal“, nuclear power will also become respectable again in Europe. Both have been included in the so-called Taxonomy Regulation, which is intended to boost billions in investments in green energies. Add to that the Ukraine war, which will take a lot of natural uranium (Kazakhstan is the world‘s largest uranium producer) and enriched uranium (Russia enriches a good 45% of the world‘s production) off the market or cause some countries to stop sourcing their uranium from Russia. In addition, the beginning electrorevolution will require a large amount of additional, CO_2-free energy in the future.

But it is not only on the demand side that a lot has happened in recent months. The supply of natural uranium has recently become increasingly scarce. New players emerged who either bought physical uranium as physically deposited funds or - as in the case of the largest Western producer Cameco - serviced their long-term supply contracts from the spot market. In sum, this has created an annual supply deficit of between 40 and 60 million pounds over the past 5 years. This means that in 2021, for example, around 60 million pounds less U_3O_8 was produced than was simultaneously demanded. Accordingly, the inventories of many energy suppliers (utilities) have been exhausted, so that they now have to come back to the negotiating table and conclude new long-term supply contracts. It can be assumed that corresponding uranium producers will set a price of around US$70 per pound of U_3O_8 as a lower limit. The mix of a strong supply shortage and steadily growing demand described above should be argumentation enough for this.

Uranium price development over the last 5 years (source: own presentation)



This of course continues to open up excellent opportunities for interested shareholders to participate in the uranium market. Some interesting investment opportunities can be found in this report.

Energy demand is rising, while at the same time energy generation is to become more climate-friendly

Global energy demand has multiplied since the late 1980s and will multiply again in the coming decades. About 10% of the world‘s total energy demand is currently met by nuclear power. However, fossil fuels such as coal and crude oil are still primarily burned to generate energy. The increasing demand for a reduction in CO_2 emissions and the ever more noticeable phenomenon of „global warming“ are prompting energy-guzzling industrialized nations and emerging economies in particular to increase their energy efficiency and improve their CO_2 balance. The second important point is the ongoing electrorevolution, which will not only allow us to travel almost 100% electrically in a few years, but at the same time will also bring a huge, additional surge in demand for clean energy. It is estimated that the demand for electricity will increase by 200% compared to 2020.

Both cannot be achieved at the same time by burning coal and oil. The alternative is renewable energies, which, however, require an enormous amount of time and money and, in addition, cannot continuously provide the same amount of required energy without larger electricity storage facilities. The alternative is nuclear power, which can provide a lot of energy in a CO_2-neutral way. This possibility of fast and almost clean energy generation has long been recognized not only by climate protectionists such as Bill Gates or Greta Thunberg, but also by many countries worldwide, who are now pushing the construction of new nuclear power plants.

Nuclear power‘s greatest asset is its base load capability

Skeptical investors are surely asking themselves at this point why the world will need far more nuclear energy in the future, when electricity can also be generated from the sun and wind. This is where baseload capability comes into play.

Base load capability is the ability of a power plant to provide continuous, reliable electrical power. This includes nuclear power plants, coal-fired power plants, gas-fired power plants, oil-fired power plants and steam power plants fired with substitute fuels. Combined heat and power plants, biomass and biogas power plants can also be base-load capable under certain conditions, although fossil or renewable raw materials must also be fired for this purpose. The only base-load-capable electricity generation from renewable energy is by means of hydroelectric power plants, but this often requires a major intervention in nature.

Photovoltaic and wind power plants are not base-load capable due to their often highly fluctuating generation and thus feed-in.

The number of nuclear power reactors worldwide is growing faster and faster

Despite the fact that there has been a great deal of opposition to nuclear power in recent decades, the number of plants worldwide is currently at a record level. 33 countries operated 441 reactors at the end of March 2022, with a total net electrical capacity of around 393.6 gigawatts. In the past 10 years alone, 65 new reactors have been connected to the grid worldwide.

The USA is currently the leading nuclear power nation with 93 reactors in operation. However, emerging countries such as China and India are in particular need of more and more energy and have been focusing on a massive expansion of their nuclear power capacities for some time now. It is therefore not surprising that 52 additional nuclear

Overview of currently operating reactors (blue) and net electrical power (light blue). (Source: www.iaea.org/PRIS)





reactors with a total net electrical output of around 53.7 gigawatts are currently under construction - 16 of them in China alone. Planning has already been completed for around 120 additional ones, and more than 300 others are in the pipeline.

Overview of reactors currently under construction (blue) and the corresponding net electrical output (light blue) per country. (Source: www.iaea.org/PRIS)

Basic knowledge uranium

Only with uranium are nuclear fission chain reactions commercially possible

Uranium is named after the planet Uranus and is a chemical element with the element symbol U and the atomic number 92. Uranium is a metal whose all isotopes are radioactive. Naturally occurring uranium in minerals consists of about 99.3% isotope 238U and 0.7% 235U.

The uranium isotope 235U is fissionable by thermal neutrons and thus, apart from the extremely rare plutonium isotope 239Pu, is the only known naturally occurring nuclide with which nuclear fission chain reactions are possible. For this reason, it is used as a primary energy source in nuclear power plants and nuclear weapons.

Occurrence

Uranium does not occur in pure form in nature, but always in oxygenated minerals. There are a total of about 230 uranium minerals that can be of local economic importance. There is a wide range of uranium deposits from magmatic hydrothermal to sedimentary types.

The highest uranium grades are achieved in unconformity-bound deposits with average uranium grades of 0.3 to 20%. The highest grades are over 70% U_3O_8!
According to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the largest uranium ore reserves are in the USA, Niger, Australia, Kazakhstan, Namibia, South Africa, Canada, Brazil, Russia, Ukraine and Uzbekistan.

Uranium mining

In uranium mining, a distinction is basically made between two processes: Conventional extraction and extraction by in-situ leaching or in-situ recovery (ISR). The exact extraction method depends on the characteristics of the ore body, such as depth, shape, ore content, tectonics, type of surrounding rock and other factors.

Conventional production

The majority of uranium is extracted by deep mining. The deposits are accessed via shafts, adits, ramps or spirals. Problems are often posed by the penetration of mine water and the so-called ventilation (technical measures to supply mines with fresh air). The exact mining method is chosen according to the characteristics of the deposit. Above all, the shape of the ore body and the distribution of the uranium in it are decisive. In deep mining, an ore body can be mined in a targeted manner, resulting in much less overburden than in open pit mining.

Near-surface or very large ore bodies are preferably extracted by open-pit mining. This allows the use of cost-effective large-scale technology. Modern open pits can be from a few meters to over 1,000 meters deep and several kilometers in diameter. Open pit mining often produces large quantities of overburden. As in deep mining, large quantities of water may have to be lifted for an open pit, but ventilation is less of a problem.


(Source: Blue Sky Uranium)

ISR mining

In the ISR method, water and small amounts of CO_2 and oxygen are injected into the sandstone layers with the help of so-called injection wells, the uranium is extracted and pumped back to the surface for further processing with the help of so-called recovery wells. The entire process therefore takes place completely underground. The advantages of this process are therefore obvious: there is no need for major earthmoving as in open-pit operations, and there are no tailings piles or discharge ponds for heavy metals and cyanides. Only the wells are visible on the surface, and the land around the wells can continue to be farmed without restrictions. The ISR process also makes low-grade deposits economically mineable, and capital costs for mine development are greatly reduced. Moreover, the entire process can be carried out with a minimum of labor, which also drastically reduces operational costs. According to a study by the World Nuclear Association, 25% of uranium mined outside Kazakhstan recently came from ISR mines.

The current demand situation:
Total demand in 2021 was about 180 million pounds of U_3O_8

The USA extends power plant lifetimes

With 93 reactors, the USA has by far the largest active nuclear power plant fleet in the world. Nevertheless, the USA is threatened with a collapse in energy supply. The United States is still the country with the highest per capita consumption of electricity in the world. And Americans‘ hunger for energy is growing. Many of the coal-fired power plants that date back to the 1950s and 1960s are operating inefficiently and uneconomically. They will have to be taken off the grid sooner rather than later. Electricity consumption, on the other hand, is rising steadily. So, the USA has no choice but to increase the number of its nuclear reactors in the coming years. Accordingly, the expansion of the nuclear power plant fleet is also part of the „Green New Deal“ initiated by President Biden, which is intended to lead the country toward CO_2 neutrality. Alongside the expansion of wind and solar energy, nuclear power is the top priority.
In recent years, more than 60 U.S. nuclear reactors have applied for lifetime extensions to 60 years of total operation. In addition, there are about 40 applications to build new nuclear power plants. To date, however, only 2 plants are under construction, and another 20 are in the concrete planning phase.

China is expanding strongly and will soon overtake France

For several years now, it has been China that has been setting the pace in the construction of nuclear power plants. 54 reactors with a total net electrical capacity of 51.1 gigawatts are operated by the Middle Kingdom, which until now has primarily used coal to generate electricity. Of these, 16 new reactors alone have been commissioned since the beginning of 2018. Nuclear power expansion in China is therefore enormous and taking place at breathtaking speed! It is expected that China will soon replace France (56 reactors) as the current number two in nuclear power. The Chinese government plans to build more than 80 new nuclear reactors in the next 15 years and over 230 new nuclear reactors by 2050. By 2030, a total of 110 reactors are to be connected to the grid, which will mean that the USA will have been replaced as the current leader. A total of 16 nuclear reactors are currently under construction.

India massively expands nuclear program

India is following a similar path. The second most populous country in the world is planning to expand its nuclear energy capacity by 70 gigawatts.
Currently, a total of 23 Indian nuclear reactors are running at full load (6.9 gigawatts). One of them was recently connected to the grid.
Currently, 6 nuclear reactors are under construction in India, with 40 more to follow by 2050.

Russia with increasing nuclear capacity

Russia has also announced a massive expansion of its nuclear power plants. The country currently operates 38 nuclear reactors with about 28.6 gigawatts. 4 plants are in the construction phase. In addition, Russia plans to build more than 40 additional nuclear power plants, which will increase the share of nuclear energy in Russia‘s energy mix from the current 15% to more than 20%.

Increasing global expansion of nuclear energy

In addition to the 33 nations (including Taiwan) that already have nuclear reactors on the grid, 17 countries have nuclear power plants under construction. These include Argentina, Bangladesh, Slovakia and Turkey. Other countries, such as Egypt, Jordan and Indonesia, are planning to build several reactors in the coming years.

Utilities are forced to sign new supply contracts

The previous cycle of contracting, dominated by the uranium price spikes of 2007 and 2010, has led plant operators to enter into contracts with higher price levels and very long terms of around 8 to 10 years. On the one hand, these old contracts are expiring, but on the other hand, plant operators have not yet looked for replacements for these supply volumes. As a result, the forward contracts of the plant operators are declining sharply, and thus the demand volumes for which there is not yet a contractual obligation, but which will have to be contractually secured in the future, are also increasing. Unmet demand is expected to exceed one billion pounds of U_3O_8 over the next 10 years. At the same time, more than 75% of expected reactor demand through 2025 is not contractually secured. For a thinly traded commodity such as uranium, this return to more „normal“ long-term contracts is likely to put tremendous pressure on both long-term and spot prices. There are therefore now increasing signals among international plant operators towards increased buying activity.



Overview of reactors currently in operation (blue), reactors currently shut down (gray) and reactors under construction (light blue). (Source: www.iaea.org/PRIS)



Overview of the age of currently operating reactors. Many will (have to) be replaced by more powerful ones in the coming years. (Source: www.iaea.org/PRIS)

The current supply situation:
Total supply in 2021 was about 124 million pounds of U_3O_8

Uranium production declines sharply

In 2021, around 124 million pounds of U_3O_8 were produced from mines worldwide. This was significantly less than at the peak in 2016, when 162 million pounds of U_3O_8 were produced.

Deposits are stable – There is an acceptable range at higher uranium prices

At a market price of US$40 per pound of uranium, experts estimate that there are just under 715,000 tons of economically recoverable uranium. With annual consumption currently at around 70,000 metric tons of uranium, these deposits would therefore be sufficient for just 10 years, provided the market price remained constant at at least US$40 during this period and demand also remained constant. However, demand will inevitably increase.

If the market price for uranium were to rise and justify extraction costs of US$80 per pound of uranium, about 1.28 million tons of uranium could be mined economically. Range at current consumption: 18 years.

Uranium concentrate production in the U.S. 1996-2021 in pounds U_3O_8 (Chart: own presentation)



If the uranium price were US$130 per pound, about 3.79 million tons of uranium could be economically extracted. The known reserves would then last for about 54 years at current consumption levels.

Former producing nations struggle with weak uranium prices

The established uranium-producing nations of Australia, Canada, Russia and Niger were already having problems expanding their production before the Corona crisis. All four countries together produced just under 15,925 tons of uranium in 2020. In 2009, the figure was 28,000 tons of uranium. In some cases, mines were shut down due to the weak uranium spot price or the lack of further reserve availability (as was recently the case at the Cominak and Ranger mines).

U.S. uranium production tends toward „0“

The U.S. uranium industry is but a shadow of days gone by. Over the past 45 years, virtually nothing has been invested in developing new deposits, and nearly 95% of the uranium needed has been extracted from the disarmament programs. U.S. nuclear reactors already consume about 21,000 tons of uranium annually. Accordingly, an increase in capacity would also require an increase in the amount of uranium needed. The World Nuclear Association (WNA) calculates that by 2035, about 40,000 metric tons of uranium will be needed annually in the U.S. alone. Even at the peak of U.S. uranium production in the 1960s and 1970s, it would not have been possible to produce such a quantity from its own facilities. U.S. uranium production reached its previous peak in 1980, when about 29,000 tons of uranium were extracted from the ground. After the end of the Cold War, disarmed nuclear weapons in particular became the most important source of U.S. uranium requirements. This led to a decline in U.S. uranium production to, most recently, about 4.5 tons of U_3O_8 in the fourth quarter of 2021. As a direct result, much of the infrastructure and licensed production facilities were simply closed or completely dismantled. Currently, only a few mines remain in Texas, Arizona, and Wyoming, but most of these have been shut down. Recently, however, several companies have been working on new licenses for their processing plants. In total, the USA has a production capacity of around 33 million pounds of U_3O_8 per year, about half of which has a production license.

Uranium superpower Kazakhstan

While almost all established uranium producers are having difficulty rebuilding or expanding their uranium production, one region has now moved past all other countries to the top of uranium production: Central Asia. There, Kazakhstan in particular has been able to multiply its uranium production in the last ten years. From 2000 to 2019, uranium production in the former Soviet republic rose from 1,870 to over 22,808 metric tons. As a result, Kazakhstan also passed the previous leader Canada in 2009 and is now responsible for around 40.8% of total global uranium production. In 2020, due to production cuts caused by low prices and the effects of the Corona pandemic, production fell below 20,000 tons, to 19,477 tons to be exact. In 2021, Kazakhstan produced about 22,500 tons of uranium.

Massive production cuts to stabilize prices

Although Kazakhstan is one of the nations that can currently mine uranium at the lowest cost, the country is no longer prepared to sell off its uranium deposits at rock-bottom prices. In early 2017, the state-owned Kazatomprom announced that it would cut its own uranium production by at least 20% in 2017. In May 2018, Kazatomprom announced further production cuts. In addition, production had to be further reduced due to Corona.

But Kazatomprom is not the only uranium producer to cut production in light of the weak uranium price. Uranium major Cameco also announced production cuts and closed its McArthur River mine and Key Lake facilities indefinitely in January 2018. The Rabbit Lake mine was also closed, both of which are among the ten largest uranium mines in the world. McArthur River was the mine with the second highest uranium production and grades in the world. The temporary closure removed 10% of the world‘s total production from the market in one fell swoop. In addition, Cameco has itself been acting as a uranium buyer for some time to service long-term, higher-grade supply contracts with corresponding uranium volumes at spot prices.

Since 2017, Kazatomprom reduced its uranium production by about 15% and Canada by about 45%. Further, Cameco closed its Cigar Lake mine for one year in March 2020 due to corona, reopened it and had to close it again after too many corona cases. Currently, the mine is back in the ramp-up phase. Additionally, Orange‘s McClean Lake processing plant had to close as well. In addition, there are closures at Moab Khotseng in South Africa and at the Chinese-owned Husab and Rössing mines in Namibia, to name just the most important ones. The spot market, whose supply is mainly made up of uranium mined as a by-product in other mines, has also recently seen a decline in supply due to various mine closures.

Huge supply gap existed even before Corona

Even before the Corona pandemic, the supply deficit was about 40 million pounds of uranium per year. In 2020, the supply deficit was about 57 million pounds of U_3O_8, or just under one-third of global annual demand.

Thus, most of the current demand is being met from stockpiles, which are thus rapidly running out. A de facto supply shortfall has already existed since 2017, with consumption at the current level of 441 nuclear reactors worldwide at about 180 million pounds of U_3O_8, of which only about 124 million pounds could be met by global uranium production in 2021.

Over the past five years, global production has lagged behind global uranium consumption by about 40-60 million pounds per year. At its peak, the COVID-19 pandemic alone affected about 50% of global uranium production.

Conclusion:

The existing supply deficit must necessarily lead to a further upward price adjustment

A future supply deficit at the current spot price is almost inevitable

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) estimates that new nuclear power plant construction will increase global uranium demand to as much as 300 million pounds of U_3O_8 per year in 2030. Over the past 5 years, there has already been a de facto supply shortfall of between 40 to 60 million pounds per year. In its Nuclear Fuel Report 2021, the World Nuclear Association projects a 27% increase in demand by 2030.

It is thus clear that the apparently cheapest and only base-load-capable CO_2-free way of generating electricity can only continue to be used if the market price for the initial product uranium continues to rise. In the case of uranium, too, demand and supply regulate the market price. However, if the market price no longer permits economic extraction, it must and will inevitably rise. In the case of uranium, there is also the fact that demand will rise sharply due to the construction of several hundred new nuclear reactors, so that the market price will benefit twice over. And thus, of course, also those investors who have recognized this trend in time.

A high proportion of demand is currently unmet

Unmet demand is expected to exceed one billion pounds of U_3O_8 over the next decade. In this context, more than 75% of the expected reactor demand will not be contracted by 2025. For a commodity as thinly traded as uranium, this return to more „normal“ long-term contracts is likely to put tremendous pressure on both long-term and spot prices. Therefore, there are already increasing signals among international plant operators in the direction of increased buying activity.

Governments increasingly rely on nuclear power as a green, base-load energy source

As early as 2021, U.S. President Joe Biden announced with his „Green New Deal“ a strong promotion of nuclear power in the U.S. and thus also of uranium mining in his own country. At the beginning of 2022, the European Commission also declared that nuclear power would be given a „climate seal of approval“. This clears the way for billions to be invested in nuclear power.

As early as 2021, President Joe Biden announced with his his „Green New Deal“ a strong promotion of nuclear power in the USA. At the beginning of 2022, the European Commission also declared that nuclear power would receive a „climate seal of approval“.

The future is modular

A huge future growth market for uranium is currently emerging in the form of modular small reactors, or SMRs. These are small 5–300-megawatt units that can be built in a modular fashion in a factory and transported to the eventual deployment site. These scalable units can provide carbon-free benefits while competing on cost with cheap natural gas or diesel and can coexist with grid-intensive renewables because of their load-sensing characteristics and zero-emission operation. The individual SMR units have a capacity of less than 300 megawatts and can operate for 3 to 5 years without fuel reloads - without interruption. They are very similar to the compact reactors that have safely powered aircraft carriers and submarines since the 1950s, and can be ideally marketed for smaller grids, island states, or remote locations (including mining and military bases). Very significant progress has already been made in government support for these innovative, carbon-free energy sources in the United Kingdom, Canada, and the United States.

Among others, Microsoft founder Bill Gates is also working with one of his companies on the development of such small reactors and is pushing the construction of a corresponding plant in Wyoming, which is to replace a coal-fired power plant there. Gates‘ company, TerraPower, is to have a sodium-cooled fast reactor with a capacity of 345 megawatts. Using molten salt storage technology, the plant‘s output can be increased to 500 MW for more than five and a half hours if needed, supplying power to about 400,000 homes.

Drawing of a small modular nuclear reactor plant from NuScale Power. (Source: NuScale, BY-SA 2.0)

An existing example of such a power plant is the Akademik Lomonosov, which Russia commissioned in 2019 as a floating power plant in northern Siberia.
A huge market that could cause uranium demand to skyrocket in the future.

US builds strategic reserve ...

The USA is also working on the implementation of SMR technology. To date, the U.S. Department of Energy has funded more than $160 million in projects under its new Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program.

Furthermore, the country is trying to become less dependent on the immensely high uranium imports, mainly from successor states of the former Soviet Union. To this end, the U.S. Congress approved a budget that will provide $150 million annually over the next 10 years to create a strategic uranium reserve. This reserve is to come entirely from uranium from U.S. mines.

In this way, the U.S. government is making some concessions to domestic mine operators in an attempt to revive domestic production. It is expected that U.S. producers will need an average stable uranium price of at least US$60 per pound in order to be able to produce sustainably. Currently, only Energy Fuels, Uranium Energy, Ur-Energy, Consolidated Uranium (via toll milling together with Energy Fuels) and Cameco can (re)start their mining projects, although Cameco has already announced that this is not currently in the company‘s interest.

... and reduce uranium imports from Russia

In addition to these measures, in September 2020, former U.S. President Trump signed an amendment to the agreement suspending the U.S. Department of Commerce‘s anti-dumping investigation of uranium from the Russian Federation, reducing America‘s dependence on Russian natural uranium concentrations by up to 75% from previous levels. The agreement was set to expire at the end of 2020 and allowed the import of about 20% of U.S. low-enriched uranium requirements from Russia. The U.S. Department of Commerce determined that the natural uranium and conversion components would be about 7% of U.S. enrichment requirements and no more than 5% beginning in 2026. This represents a reduction in Russian natural uranium imports of up to 75% from previous limits.

Uranium funds and uranium companies buy spot market empty

Only recently have several other strong market players joined the fray, now securing U_3O_8 on the spot market at a small price, mostly from mines where uranium is a by-product. In addition to Cameco, which is now a buyer, Uranium Participation Corp. (now acquired by Sprott Physical Uranium Trust) and Yellow Cake Plc. have also been able to buy larger quantities of uranium. All of these players took approximately 80 million pounds of U_3O_8 from the spot market since the beginning of 2021. Furthermore, uranium companies such as Uranium Energy, Denison Mines and Boss Energy also purchased physical uranium in order to be able to act flexibly and fulfill supply contracts in the event of an early production start-up.

The best uranium stocks promise multiplication potential!

The current situation of a uranium spot price that continues to be too low and does not reflect reality plus the still existing, massive supply deficit, we have taken the opportunity to summarize promising uranium shares for you in a compact way. In doing so, we focus primarily on development companies with extremely promising projects, as these also offer a high takeover opportunity in addition to the actual appreciation due to a higher uranium spot price in this context.

The two expert interviews, which provide additional information and investment ideas, should also be noted.

Interview with Dr. Christian Schärer –
Manager of the Uranium Resources Fund and Partner of Incrementum

Mr. Schärer, nuclear power has recently come back into the focus of investors because many governments around the world have classified it as a „green technology“. What does that mean for the uranium sector?

Against the backdrop of the global climate debate, governments are looking for answers to the question of what their country‘s optimal energy mix should look like in the future. Geopolitical concerns, economic interests, national egoisms and the laws of nature (physics) must all be taken into account. This is an extremely complex issue, because ultimately policymakers must ensure that the energy and power supply for their national economies is clean, secure and affordable.

According to the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement, energy supply in the future should be based less on fossil fuels. It is undisputed that the intended electrification of industry and mobility will lead to a disproportionately growing demand for electricity. Accordingly, alternative energies (wind, solar, hydropower) are to be strongly expanded.

In recent years, a great deal of time and commitment has been devoted to defining globally binding climate targets that are as ambitious as possible. Ideological and moral arguments have often played a major role in these discussions. Now, however, the time has come for concrete energy policy implementation. In this context, the limiting factors of time and money are beginning to take effect. Accordingly, realpolitik is increasingly taking the reins in the search for feasible energy policy compromises. This is reflected in the formulation of the „New Green Deal“ by the Biden administration, the shaping of the EU taxonomy by the Commission or the objectives of the Japanese government, which is working on a forced comeback of nuclear energy a good 10 years after Fukushima. Underlying all these political approaches is the recognition that the unavoidable fluctuations in the production of alternative energy sources must be balanced out within the framework of a stable power grid. This requires reliable power generation from non-fossil sources that is available around the clock, seven days a week. Because nuclear power is produced with low CO_2 emissions, nuclear power plants are a possible solution for many governments to provide this base load in the power grid. Against this background, alternative energy sources and nuclear power can form a „green“ symbiosis.

Thanks to this green stamp, nuclear power plants will probably also benefit from economic stimulus programs and government subsidies in the future. It will also be easier to tap investor funds. For Europe, the USA and Japan, we expect that this will make it easier to modernize existing nuclear power plants with the aim of extending their operating lives. By contrast, we do not expect numerous new projects for the construction of current-generation reactors. We see more potential for new reactor concepts that are safer, more flexible and less expensive than the current generation of nuclear power plants. The necessary research funds can now be mobilized more easily in the context described.

Whereas in the established industrialized countries the short and medium term aim is to extend the operating life of existing nuclear power plants, in the emerging economies in the Middle East and Asia the focus is on the accelerated expansion of reactor fleets. China is particularly ambitious in this respect. The country plans to build around 150 new reactors in the next 15 years! More than the rest of the world has built in the past 35 years. Are these plans realistic? That remains to be seen. The example of the United Arab Emirates gives cause for optimism in this respect. There, under Korean project management, it has been possible to realize ambitious construction projects for new reactors while adhering to schedules and cost budgets.

Dr. Christian Schärer is a partner at Incrementum AG, responsible for special mandates. During his studies he started to search for the strategic success factors of successful business models. A topic that still fascinates him today and inspires him in the selection of promising investment opportunities. He studied business administration at the University of Zurich and earned his doctorate while working at the Banking Institute Zurich with an analytical study on the investment strategy of Swiss pension funds in the real estate sector. He has acquired comprehensive financial market knowledge in various functions as investment advisor, broker and portfolio manager. Since the summer of 2004, Schärer has been focusing on various investment themes with a tangible asset character as an entrepreneur, consultant and portfolio manager. He also brings his practice-oriented financial market knowledge to companies as a member of the board of directors. He is married and father of a son. In his free time, he enjoys cooking for friends and family, hiking in the Ticino mountains or reading the biography of a fascinating personality.

To what extent does the conflict between Russia and Ukraine affect the global supply of uranium?

Security of supply is a key issue for nuclear power plant operators. This is explained by the cost structure of these power plants. In contrast to fossil-fueled (gas or coal) power plants, in the case of a nuclear power plant the capital costs are the dominant factor in the total cost calculation for electricity production. With a share in the high single-digit percentage range, fuel costs (uranium) are of secondary importance. Accordingly, the industry usually shows little price sensitivity to rising uranium prices. However, when an operator invests billions in the construction of a nuclear power plant, he also wants to operate it around the clock, seven days a week. A possible bottleneck in the fuel supply must be prevented accordingly.

In terms of the supply situation, the period since the Fukushima reactor accident has been mostly comfortable for power plant operators. For the most part, supply was greater than demand and the availability of uranium on the spot market was good. During this time, uranium producers from Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan or Russia have steadily gained market share due to their attractive positioning on the aggregate cost curve. As a group, these producer countries now hold a good 50% share of the uranium market. With a weight of 40%, Kazakhstan plays a dominant role.

Accordingly, the social unrest in Kazakhstan at the beginning of the current year and the associated military intervention by Russia were already an initial wake-up call for the global nuclear industry. Even then, it became clear that the long-term supply contracts concluded with producers from Kazakhstan were probably riskier than had been thought a short time before. The issue of strategic supply security was launched.

Since Russia‘s attack on Ukraine, it has dominated the agenda. Russia is not only a uranium producer, but with „Rosatom“ also a weighty player in uranium enrichment and fuel production. For example, U.S. power plant operators cover about 40% of their fuel needs from the Russian supplier. In the current sanctions discussion, there are voices on both sides. Aware of Western dependencies, Russian voices are calling for an export ban on uranium and nuclear fuel. On the other hand, bills are pending in both chambers of the U.S. Parliament that aim to ban imports of Russian uranium.

As of today, the outcome of these discussions is open. Due to the existing stocks at the power plant operators, the smooth continued operation of the nuclear power plants is ensured for the next 12 to 18 months, irrespective of the outcome of these discussions. However, against the background outlined above, we expect massive structural shifts on the uranium market in the medium term:

1. Western power plant operators will want to diversify their supply sources and enter into long-term supply contracts with suppliers from politically reliable jurisdictions. A willingness to self-sanction can already be observed today. Western power plant operators are refraining from purchasing uranium and nuclear fuel from Russian sources wherever possible.
2. Power plant operators are also addressing the issue of strategic security of supply with more extensive stockpiling. As the latest quarterly report of the Canadian uranium producer „Cameco“ has already shown, power plant operators are indicating an increased willingness to stockpile uranium. This is likely to mark the start of a new inventory cycle on the demand side. In our opinion, this is the last missing piece of the mosaic in the picture of a multi-year and sustainable uranium bull market.
3. The outlook for existing and prospective uranium producers has thus improved significantly. On the one hand, they benefit from the willingness of demanders to conclude new long-term supply contracts (see „Cameco“). On the other hand, the recent significant increase in the price of uranium provides incentives to bring existing production capacities, which have been shut down for economic reasons, back into production and to push ahead more consistently with the realization of projects which have already been approved. These are the first tentative steps towards reducing the still growing supply gap on the uranium market.

In summary, despite the current political and military uncertainties, from a fundamental perspective the medium-term outlook for producers on the uranium market has further improved.

Since 2018, uranium producers worldwide have been trying to find a balance between production and demand. What has actually happened since then, and is it really sustainable?

In this context, it is important to distinguish between strategic and cyclical market developments. The Corona-related production cuts have relieved the market in the short term as part of a cyclical fluctuation and supported the spot price. This was because, due to interruptions in production, renowned producers were no longer able to cover their delivery obligations from their own uranium production, but only with purchases on the spot market. This was a welcome contribution to the desired stabilization of the market. However, these capacities will sooner or later find their way back into the market. Accordingly, the resulting support for the uranium price is also only of a temporary nature. This process will continue in the case of the recent production outages due to supply chain delays.

More important for the further development of the uranium price, however, are the changes at the strategic level. Under the leadership of the two heavyweights „Kazatomprom“ and „Cameco“, the supply side has attempted to lead the uranium market back to a new equilibrium over the past four years with significant production cuts. We are seeing previously unknown supply side discipline in the market today. As a result, global mine production is likely to have reduced by around a quarter compared to 2016.

These production cuts reflect nothing more than the recognition of economic realities by uranium producers. From the point of view of the mine operators, the ratio of the production costs of their existing capacities (AISC - All In Sustaining Costs) to the spot price is relevant. If these costs are higher than the selling price realized on the spot and forward markets, then uranium production makes no sense from an economic point of view. If the uranium price rises sustainably above the level of production costs, capacities that have been temporarily shut down for economic reasons (mines in „care and maintenance“ status) will find their way back to the market. The latest announcements by Cameco to bring its McArthur River and Cigar Lake mines (partially) back into production from 2024 should be seen against this background.

In retrospect, it can be stated that this strategy to discipline the supply side has worked. The uranium price has now completed its bottoming out and recently reached its highest level since 2012. Given the improvements on the demand side discussed earlier (extension of operating lives, construction of new reactors, desire to diversify supply sources), we see price risks on the demand side of the market in the current environment. Over the past 12 to 18 months, the uranium market has changed from a buyer‘s market to a seller‘s market.

As the „Cameco“ example shows, a significant expansion of production volumes is not to be expected in the short term, even in an environment with stronger increases in uranium prices. For technical reasons, this is not feasible even for established producers in the short term (within 12 to 18 months). At most, a question mark could be placed behind the production discipline of „Kazatomprom“. In view of Russia‘s increased influence on the government of Kazakhstan, one can indeed question the adherence of the 75% state controlled „Kazatomprom“ to its self-imposed production restrictions. So far, however, we have not heard any signals from management regarding such a change in strategy. Here too, for technical reasons

incrementum

(supply chain problems, time-to-market of new in-situ production capacities), a short-term expansion of production seems unlikely to us. On the contrary, in the current (sanctions) environment, the risk of limited availability of Kazatomprom production due to delivery difficulties (shipping via St. Petersburg) seems more likely than an unexpected production expansion.

You manage the Uranium Resources Fund (ISIN LI0224072749) of LLB Fundservices AG in Liechtenstein. What strategy are you pursuing and what does the fund actually represent?

The investment strategy of the Uranium Resources Fund is based on our investment hypothesis that the existing supply gap in the uranium market will be closed over the next three to five years. This will only succeed if a significantly higher uranium price provides the incentives for new production capacities or those temporarily shut down for economic reasons to find their way to the market.

The Fund holds 25 to 30 positions in the portfolio and is suitable for the long-term oriented investor who wishes to participate in the interesting prospects of the uranium sector. The assets are invested worldwide in companies that have a direct link to the uranium sector, in accordance with the principle of risk diversification. The investment strategy aims at absolute value growth.

Due to its risk profile, the Uranium Resources Fund is suitable as a supplementary component in a diversified portfolio and not as a basic investment. The Fund is licensed for public distribution in Liechtenstein, Germany and Austria and is tax transparent. In Switzerland, it is open for subscription to professional investors.

What selection criteria do you use when choosing fund stocks, and what are your current top performers?

After a long bear market, the uranium market has bottomed out and made a sustained upward turn. In view of the growing supply gap and the further improving fundamental data, there are good prospects for a continuation of the bull market despite the price gains to date. However, interim setbacks and high volatility remain a feature of this tight market. We intend to consistently exploit the profit opportunities that present themselves, while accepting controlled risks! Against this background, our portfolio stands on four pillars. The first pillar is our strategic liquidity ratio. This ensures our ability to act at any time. In this way, we take advantage of attractive entry points that regularly open up due to the volatile price performance of many uranium shares.



Performance of the Uranium Resources Fund in Swiss Francs (Source: incrementum.li)

With the second pillar, we want to participate directly in an improvement in the uranium spot price. Without higher uranium prices, a sustainable recovery of uranium producers is difficult to imagine. That is why two investment companies, which have invested their funds mainly in physical uranium, form the core of the portfolio. If our view is correct, the supply gap in the uranium market will be filled via a rising uranium price. „Sprott Physical Uranium Trust“ and „Yellow Cake Plc.“ should consequently be the first and most immediate beneficiaries of this price recovery. We have added to this group with a position in Uranium Royalty Corp. The company adapts the „streaming and royalties“ business model, which has been successful mainly in the precious metals environment, to the uranium market. The company finances uranium mines and in return secures a share in current or future production. However, this is done without taking on the risks associated with operating a mine.

The third pillar focuses on the shares of uranium producers or „standby“ producers with approved and/or realized projects that are not currently in production. When uranium prices start to rise, the producers who can place significant uranium production on the market will benefit. Only those who produce can also deliver. To be on the safe side, we focus on companies that have low production costs on the one hand and a good order book of long-term supply contracts on the other. Significantly represented in the portfolio are the two industry leaders „Cameco“ and, due to the current environment, with some restrictions „Kazatomprom“. Both companies have a broad portfolio of first-class production sites. This group is supplemented by investments in companies to which we would give the status of „standby producer“. These are companies that have a portfolio of approved production sites and processing capacities. Production could be launched within a foreseeable period of time as soon as the economic conditions (i.e., a higher uranium price) are met. We include „Uranium Energy“, „enCore Energy“ or „Energy Fuels“ in this group, for example.

Under the fourth pillar, we focus on explorers and developers who are advancing world-class development and mining projects. These are particularly interesting if they can significantly advance their projects in the time window of the expected supply gap. They will then be able to benefit from a correspondingly attractive performance of their projects. In addition, these assets should have the necessary size to also qualify as takeover targets. This is because we assume that once the price turnaround has occurred on the uranium market, a wave of consolidation will take place and mining companies from outside the sector may also want to position themselves in the uranium business. This would make sense not least because of the low cyclical sensitivity and the comparatively high visibility of uranium demand. For example, the companies „NexGen Energy“, „Fission Uranium“ or „Boss Energy“ can be assigned to this group.

What advice do you have for investors interested in investing in the uranium sector?

As discussed, the prospects of promising uranium stocks are promising. On the other hand, the volatility of these shares is extraordinarily high due to their low market liquidity and implicit project risks. Those who put all their eggs in one basket in this speculative constellation are therefore playing high poker - possibly even too high. The use of a fund or ETF that invests diversified within the investment theme seems reasonable to us. In addition, we recommend a staggered build-up of positions.

Interview with Scott Melbye

CEO of Uranium Royalty, Executive Vice President of Uranium Energy and Ex-Advisor to the CEO of Kazatomprom

Scott Melbye is a 37-year veteran of the nuclear energy industry having held leadership positions in major uranium mining companies as well as industry-wide organizations. Through to June 2014, Melbye was Executive Vice President, Marketing, for Uranium One, responsible for global uranium sales activities. Prior to this, Melbye spent 22 years with the Cameco Group of companies, both in the Saskatoon head office and with their U.S. subsidiaries. He had last served as President of Cameco Inc., the subsidiary responsible for marketing and trading activities with annual sales exceeding 30 million pounds U_3O_8. Melbye was formerly the Chair of the Board of Governors of the World Nuclear Fuel Market and President of the Uranium Producers of America. He also currently serves as Executive Vice President of Uranium Energy, was VP-Commercial for Uranium Participation Corporation and was Advisor to the CEO of Kazatomprom, the world's largest uranium producer in Kazakhstan. Melbye received a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration with specialization in International Business from Arizona State University in 1984.

You have been in the uranium and nuclear energy business for 37 years now. Can you share with our readers your path to get here and observations on how this time compares with other periods in the uranium market history?

It has truly been a pleasure to be engaged in this incredible industry throughout all these years. The mid-1980's had me trading uranium commodities with the German company, Nukem Inc. in New York, followed by my time as a nuclear fuel buyer for the Palo Verde Nuclear Power Station in Arizona. The next two decades were devoted to Cameco, from the time of their merger out of Canadian Federal and Provincial Crown corporations, to becoming the largest publicly listed uranium miner, operating the world's leading operations in Saskatchewan and selling over 34 million pounds of uranium annually to all of the world's nuclear utilities. Among many amazing experiences at Cameco, important new markets in China and India were opened up during this time. The early part of the last decade had me leading the marketing efforts of Uranium One, the global uranium production subsidiary of Russia's Rosatom with extensive experience in Kazakhstan, the United Arab Emirates, and China. Finally, I embarked on my current leadership positions at Uranium Energy Corp. and Uranium Royalty Corp. Mixed in there were consulting roles with the management of uranium activities at Sprott Physical Uranium Trust-forerunner, Uranium Participation Corp. and as Advisor to the CEO of Kazatomprom, assisting in their transition from state-owned-entity to publicly traded company.

With all these experiences behind me, including all the highs, and some very challenging times for our industry, I can say that I have never been more optimistic about the prospects for nuclear energy and uranium in the coming months and years.

Uranium Prices have now been trading as high as $63 per pound, up significantly from the bear cycle lows of $17.70 per pound in November 2017. What is behind this bull market move in uranium prices?

Uranium prices have indeed been on a dramatic recovery which can be attributed to a number of basic supply and demand fundamentals, in combination with a mix of global mega-trends and geopolitical developments.

Firstly, we have been talking about the rebalancing of supply and demand factors for some time, and recent events have only accelerated that development. Following a period of uranium over-supply brought on by the impacts of Fukushima, global uranium producers began to take steps to rationalize their production plans around the time long term contract hedges were beginning to roll out of supplier portfolios. Despite falling prices throughout the decade, global production had increased and peaked in 2016. From 2017 onward, however, we finally began to see supplier discipline translate into reduced production levels and the shut-in of mines around the world. In fact, over the past 5 years, global production has lagged global uranium consumption by roughly 40-60 million pounds per year. This has had the impact of drawing down global secondary supplies to help bring the market more into balance. Some producers, like Cameco, not only shut-in production, but entered the market as buyers to backfill their substantial long term contract commitments.

A couple of major developments came along to throw gasoline on the fire. The COVID-19 pandemic, for one, impacted roughly 50% of global uranium production at its peak, yet fortunately spared the nuclear power plant, uranium-consumers who operated reliably as essential services throughout this time. As such, uranium demand was unimpacted while major mining operations, like those in Kazakhstan and Cigar Lake in Saskatchewan, Canada, saw their output decreased, even beyond the discretionary mine cutbacks. Additionally on the production side, the uranium market is experiencing the end-of-mine-life of a number of key operations including the Ranger mine in Australia (which ceased operations in 2021), the Akdala mine in Kazakhstan, and the Cominak mine in Niger. Additionally, the decade of low uranium prices did very little to incentivize the pipeline of new projects or encourage the restart of idled mines. This will dramatically impact the production response in this emerging supply squeeze as mines are not permitted, licensed or developed overnight, and in fact, can take 6-10 years to accomplish (with no guarantee of success). Market observers should also not ignore the impacts of global inflation on the price thresholds of mine restarts and development. There may be a general misperception of the level at which uranium prices will incentivize new mines.

With this sort of production/consumption gap prevailing for so long, have we finally made a dent towards drawing down the over-hang of global inventories?

Yes, most definitely. These voluntary and involuntary reductions in global mine production provided the opportunity for the market to fully draw on, and deplete, the over-hang of inventories which built up from the effects of Fukushima and, frankly, overproduction throughout the first half of the decade. This has been dramatically accelerated through the purchasing activities of non-traditional uranium buyers. Such category of buyers would include producers, like Cameco, backfilling contract commitments from the open market, junior producers, like UEC and others, opportunistically establishing low-cost inventories at near the bottom of the cycle, and pure speculative purchasers. These speculative, or financial, buyers have included Uranium Royalty Corp., Yellow Cake Plc., and Sprott Physical Uranium Trust (SPUT) who are accumulating holdings of physical uranium on behalf of their shareholders who are seeking price exposure to the underlying commodity. Similarly, we have seen hedge funds make direct purchases of spot uranium in which they hold to realize capital appreciation of the assets. Collectively, these categories of buyers have had a profound impact on the rebalancing of the uranium market having purchased over 81 million pounds in the past 15 months. SPUT has been the major player in all this having raised $1.7 billion from its at-the-market financing vehicle since August 2021. While I am reluctant to describe these developments as "catalysts", preferring to reserve that term to the major underlying supply and demand fundamentals, I would clearly describe these events as a major tipping point in the market re-balancing. Our rather thinly traded and inefficient uranium market was already heading from over to under-supply from both traditional supply and demand trends, however, the magnitude of spot buying has perhaps accelerated forward the market recovery by a couple years. The significance being that the uranium market is transitioning from being inventory-driven, to one more reliant on the cost and timing of production from new and restarted mines.

What impact has society's desire to decarbonize our economy had in terms of nuclear growth on the demand side for uranium?

Just as the global uranium industry was focusing on the rationalization of production in light of low market prices that were below global extraction costs, we have seen an unprecedented embrace of nuclear power for the role it can play in a lower-carbon future. For the first time in the modern history of nuclear energy, we are seeing broad support for nuclear power from the political Right

and Left, the investment community, and both environmentalists and industrialists. Whether one values the clean energy benefits of this leading green-energy technology, or it is a prioritization of the reliability and affordability of 24/7, baseload power, nuclear energy delivers both. It is as carbon-free and safe as wind and solar yet runs 95% of the time versus 30% for intermittent renewables. Moreover, its energy-dense uranium fuel serves as a price hedge against volatile fuel costs compared to fossil-fired generation. It is not surprising then that in the past 8 years the world has seen 62 large, modern nuclear power plants connected to the global electric grid and 54 more commence construction. Furthermore, we are now seeing very exciting developments in the deployment of small modular, or advanced, reactors (SMR's). These are not the 1500-megawatt massive power stations that we have become accustomed to, but rather smaller 50-300 megawatt units that can be constructed in a factory with lower up-front capital, shipped on site and built in a scalable, modular manner. Once these innovative plants can get past the first-build hurdles, they promise to be affordable and flexible clean energy sources that can adapt well to large grids already burdened with substantial intermittent renewables, present viable alternatives to retiring coal fired power plants, or serve as a main source of power to remote communities, or for uses in industrial or mining applications. Whether it is GE Hitachi in Canada, Rolls Royce in the United Kingdom, or X-Energy, TerraPower or NuScale in the United States, these SMR's and advanced designs are receiving substantial commercial interest and boosted by strong government support in terms of their initial deployment. In a significant announcement last year, the U.S. state of Wyoming will see a Bill Gates, TerraPower, Natrium reactor constructed on the site of a retiring coal-fired power station (Warren Buffett's Pacific Corp. utility being the buyer). Not only can this advanced reactor make a clean energy transition, but it can also connect into existing grid infrastructure, and jobs can be preserved in the impacted fossil fuel sector. Central Europe is proving to be a promising market for this technology as these countries are facing a number of energy challenges. While historically dependent on coal-fired power generation, they are being pushed towards lower carbon alternatives by the European Commission. At the same time, they want to avoid the dangerous reliance on Russian natural gas. Large western reactors and SMR's are proving to be the desired fit between these competing objectives.

In that regard, how is the Russian invasion of the Ukraine impacting the global uranium market?

If the supply and demand rebalancing, COVID-19 impacts, and non-traditional uranium buying was not enough, the appalling and unprovoked invasion of sovereign Ukraine by Russia may prove to permanently reshape the uranium market in a number of ways going forward. The Rosatom uranium enrichment complex represents 45% of global installed capacity, and closely aligned Kazakhstan has become the worlds largest uranium producer. In the United States for example, 20-25% of the enriched uranium comes from Russia and close to 50% of natural uranium supplies are sourced from Russia, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan. These Russian fuel purchases amount to close to US$1.3 billion in hard currency per year towards Putin's war efforts. Western Europe would have similar levels of reliance. We would be correct in pointing out the risk management folly of putting that many eggs in Putin's basket, but the reality faced today is not whether to move away from Russian fuel reliance, but how quickly can this be achieved without harm to the nuclear power plant consumers. Not only are these supplies potentially subject to sanctions (the U.S. Congress have proposed a complete ban on varying timelines), they could also be subject to a Kremlin export embargo knowing how strategic these energy supplies are to the West. Yet other companies have remained true to their moral and ethical values and have voluntarily ceased Russian purchases (Swedish Vattenfall having made this decision on the first day of the invasion). Other utilities will face mounting pressure to act from shareholders and customers, like the protests we have seen at EDF's headquarters in Paris. Central European utilities face a more daunting task in refueling their Russian designed VVER reactors with western fuel, including the fabricated fuel designs now being manufactured by Westinghouse for the Ukrainians and Czechs. Having said that they, and other neighboring countries, are fully committed to the transition given the first-hand perspective of Russia's carnage and the exodus of refugees. From a supply and demand perspective, we have to assume perhaps a permanent shift away from Russian uranium fuel reliance. While this may have dramatic on uranium prices in the near term, it should signal a strategic shift towards more geopolitically stable suppliers that are not under the influence of Russia or China.

„Whether one values the clean energy benefits of this leading green-energy technology, or it is a prioritization of the reliability and affordability of 24/7, baseload power, nuclear energy delivers both. It is as carbon-free and safe as wind and solar yet runs 95% of the time versus 30% for intermittent renewables.“

How has this Russia/Ukraine conflict impacted nuclear power in global national energy policies?

The humanitarian catastrophe that is the Russian invasion of Ukraine will impact society in many ways for years to come. Perhaps the most lasting impact on global energy will be the renewed and keen awareness towards energy independence and security. Energy Ministers from around the world are reassessing how their energy is produced and from where it is coming from. No longer will it be acceptable to outsource strategic energy supplies (and other critical minerals, goods and services) to countries that do not have shared values and interests. Multinational cooperation will still exist, but a much greater emphasis will be placed on domestic control of strategic resources. Nuclear energy has a very important role to play in this societal shift. Nowhere has this become more evident than with the failed energy policies of Germany over the past 15 years. The Merkel approach of "Energiewende" promised abundant clean and affordable electricity though billions of Euros invested in green energy renewables, and a very deliberate and unequivocal phase out of nuclear

„Germany has instead “succeeded” in achieving electricity prices 50% higher than neighboring nuclear France, while making very little progress in its carbon reduction goals, losing their largest source of carbon-free energy (nuclear) and instead increasing reliance on dirty lignite coal.“

View of the Welzow-Süd opencast lignite mine
(Source: Jörg Blobelt, CC BY-SA 4.0)

energy. The result has been quite the opposite. Germany has instead “succeeded” in achieving electricity prices 50% higher than neighboring nuclear France, while making very little progress in its carbon reduction goals, losing their largest source of carbon-free energy (nuclear) and instead increasing reliance on dirty lignite coal. However, the most disturbing result of this policy has been the overwhelming reliance on Russian natural gas from Nord-Stream 2. The latter causing not only supply shocks to the German economy but conflicting the German Government in taking stronger ethical geopolitical positions during this profound humanitarian crisis.

In Europe alone, we are seeing the reversal of phaseouts of nuclear power in countries like Belgium and a renewed commitment to nuclear energy like we are seeing in the United Kingdom and France. The European Commission’s taxonomy debate conclusions yielded to the pronuclear member arguments and deemed nuclear energy a green and sustainable energy source for the Community’s energy needs (albeit transitional and with conditions). Nowhere is this more abundantly clear than in Central Europe where the threat of Russian aggression and energy weaponization is not a new concept. Countries such as Poland, Romania, Czech Republic, Slovenia, Hungary and Slovakia are not only placing increased value on their existing fleet (switching fabricated fuel suppliers from Russia’s Rosatom to Westinghouse) but are engaging in new build of large western reactor designs and fully embracing the benefits of small modular and advanced reactors. Put simply, the EU (and society at-large) is encouraging their shift away from the current heavy reliance on coal, and Russian gas is not an option. Renewables can contribute up to point but cannot be a baseload 24/7 source of uninterruptable electricity.

What does this all mean for uranium investors?

As we have been saying for some time, the market fundamentals have been ripe for a significant and sustained recovery in uranium prices. We are now seeing this come together in a very big way assisted by the mega-trend towards energy decarbonization and supply shocks that have been brought on by a global pandemic and an apocalyptic invasion in Central Europe. We should remember that the last bull market in uranium began from a place of moribund demand for uranium, little to no investment in uranium exploration and development, and flat uranium prices below global costs of production. The resumption of new reactor builds in the nuclear renaissance combined with supply shocks at major production centers (floods and fires in Canada and Australia), resulted in a period of uranium prices trading in the $70 to $137 per pound range. I can’t help but draw the comparisons to today where even stronger, broad-based support of nuclear energy has emerged, fuel buyer complacency is again being met with supply shocks and uranium speculators have entered into the game in historic proportions.

Early investors in this cycle are now being rewarded for their patience and foresight, and new investors are finding the nuclear energy and uranium story to be an extremely compelling sector in which to focus their capital for growth in the coming years. Given that we have only recently emerged from a period where the name of the game for uranium producers was to simply “leave it in the ground”, to one of needed uranium expansion and growth, we are still in the very early stages of this cycle. Investors will be wise to focus on the companies that have positioned themselves through an extremely challenging time of survival to be ready to seize on these significant opportunities going forward. Indeed, very exciting times for uranium as the promise of clean, reliable, and resilient nuclear energy becomes more widely appreciated in a lower-carbon world.

Skyharbour Resources

High-profile partners land one bull‘s eye after another

Jordan Trimble, CEO

Skyharbour Resources is a uranium exploration company with projects in the prolific Athabasca Basin. The Company has acquired world-class exploration projects at attractive valuations, totaling approximately 385,000 hectares throughout the Athabasca Basin. Skyharbour owns 100% of its flagship property, the Moore uranium project, which hosts the high-grade Maverick zone. Skyharbour, while focusing on its core strategy as a discovery-driven exploration company, is also primarily applying the prospecting model to drive and fund exploration at its other projects in the Basin and has brought in several strategic partners (including Orano Canada, Azincourt Energy, Valor Resources, Basin Uranium, and Medaro Mining) that have been real bull‘s-eyes recently.

Moore Lake Uranium Project – Best Location

Skyharbour Resources‘ flagship Moore Lake project is located in the southeast region of the Athabasca Basin, approximately 15 kilometers east of Denison Mines‘ Wheeler River development project and midway between the Key Lake Mill and McArthur River Mine. The high-grade Moore Lake project consists of 12 contiguous claims totaling 35,705 hectares and was acquired by Skyharbour from its largest strategic shareholder, Denison.

Moore Lake Uranium Project – Exploration Successes to Date

Skyharbour Resources has already demonstrated high-grade uranium mineralization with its first two drill programs in 2017, with notable new discoveries in the Main and Maverick East zones in particular. Highlights from the drill programs included 20.8% U_3O_8 over 1.5 meters within a 5.9-meter interval at 6.0% U_3O_8, 5.6% U_3O_8 over 1.8 meters within a 10.7 meter interval at 1.4% U_3O_8, 2.25% U_3O_8 over 3.0 meters and 4.17% U_3O_8 over 4.5 meters including 9.12% U_3O_8 over 1.4 meters in the Maverick East zone. Continued drilling returned additional high-grade intercepts including 3.11% U_3O_8 over 1.8 meters and 1.33% U_3O_8 over 7.8 meters. In 2019, the Company successfully intersected high-grade mineralization in the potential underground feeder zones, including 2.5 metres of 2.31% U_3O_8. In the fall of 2020, Skyharbour conducted a drill program testing unconformity and deeper targets along the high-grade Maverick structural corridor. During this campaign, the Company quickly achieved positive results. These included intersections of 0.72% U_3O_8 over 17.5 meters, including 1.00% U_3O_8 over 10.0 meters, and traces of copper at grades up to 2.3%. The 2021 drill program was rapidly expanded by Skyharbour Resources from 3,500 to 5,000 meters and returned 2.54% U_3O_8 over 6.0 meters and 6.80% U_3O_8 over 2.0 meters, among other results. In February 2022, high grade uranium mineralization of 0.54% U_3O_8 over 19.5 meters, including 4.0 meters of 2.07% U_3O_8, was again encountered. In addition, a 2,500-meter drill program was recently initiated.

Preston Uranium Project – Location and Exploration

The Preston uranium project is located in the southwest quadrant, just outside the Athabasca Basin in the Patterson Lake region. The Preston Project, which covers approximately 70,000 hectares, is located near the high-profile discoveries of NexGen (Arrow) and Fission Uranium (Patterson Lake South). In the past, CA$5 million has been spent on exploration and reconnaissance drilling that has helped identify 15 areas with similar indicators to Patterson Lake South and Arrow.



World-class exploration projects in and around the Athabasca Basin (Source: Skyharbour Resources)

Preston Uranium Project – Joint Venture with Orano Canada

In March 2021, Orano received a 51% interest in Preston and formed a joint venture together with Skyharbour Resources and Dixie Gold. Preston has a total area of 50,000 hectares and is currently being explored for high-grade targets.

East Preston uranium project – option agreement with Azincourt Energy

The East Preston Project comprises the eastern portion of the Preston Project and covers an area of approximately 20,000 hectares. Azincourt Uranium has earned a 70% interest in the East Preston uranium project through February 2021. In early 2018, gravity geophysical studies enabled Azincourt to identify several significant targets for further exploration, and a VTEM survey was conducted in 2019 to identify seven new targets. An initial drilling campaign also confirmed the prospectivity of the East Preston project, as the subsurface lithologies and graphitic structures intersected at East Preston show similarities to the Patterson Lake South, Arrow and Hook Lake/Spitfire uranium deposits. In February 2020, a second drill program was completed that encountered radioactivity and traces of rare earths and other indicator elements. A ground geophysical program was also conducted in the summer of 2020 to support future drill programs based on existing interpretation available across the property, and results from the heli-supported VTEM survey helped identify numerous untested graphite conductor corridors to be tested in future drilling. In February 2021, Azincourt initiated a drilling program that identified anomalous and elevated urani-

um values in three of the five completed drill holes. In addition, an airborne radiometric study was conducted. A further drilling campaign was initiated in January 2022. This intersected extensive alteration and evidence of east-west crossing structures along the southern portion of the G Zone. Drilling in the K Zone intersected extensive hydrothermal hematite alteration in all holes, indicating that this alteration zone is at least 1,200 meters long.

Hook Lake Project – Option Agreement with Valor Resources Brings Real Bull‘s Eye

Skyharbour‘s Hook Lake project is located 60 kilometers east of the Key Lake uranium mine and covers approximately 26,000 hectares. Optioneer Valor Resources encountered 9.2% U_3O_8, 499g/t Ag, 5.05% TREO (total rare earth oxides) (11,797ppm Nd2O3 + Pr6O11 and 1.825ppm Dy2O3), 14.4% Pb, to 57.4% U308, 507 g/t Ag, 3.68% TREO (8,562 ppm Nd2O3 + Pr6O11 and 1,676 ppm Dy2O3), 14.5% Pb and to 46.1% U_3O_8, 435 g/t Ag, 2.88% TREO (7,054 ppm Nd2O3 + Pr6O11 and 1,139 ppm Dy2O3), 8.8% Pb. Construction of an exploration camp and an initial drilling campaign commenced in January 2022. Three of the drill holes in the S zone showed elevated radioactivity and associated alteration of varying widths. One drill hole intersected a zone of elevated radioactivity and alteration at a depth of 104.3 to 108.0 meters.

Yurchison Project – Option Agreement with Medaro Mining

The 55,934-hectare Yurchison project was optioned to Medaro Mining Corp. in November 2021. Historical trenching near old trenches returned significant uranium (between 0.09% and 0.30% U_3O_8) and molybdenum mineralization (between 2,500 ppm and 6,400 ppm Mo). Two historic drill holes below the trenches returned strongly anomalous molybdenum values up to 3,750 ppm and anomalous uranium values up to 240 ppm. The property has high discovery potential for both bedrock uranium mineralization and copper, zinc and molybdenum mineralization. Medaro has recently completed an initial airborne geophysical survey.

Other uranium projects in the Athabasca Basin

In addition to Moore Lake, Preston and Hook Lake, Skyharbour owns 100% in several other highly prospective exploration projects in the Basin. Among others, the Company owns the Mann Lake project (Basin Uranium Corp. signed an earn-in option in 2021 to acquire up to a 75% interest), which is adjacent to the joint venture project of the same name between Cameco, Denison and Orano. Mann Lake is strategically located approximately 25 kilometers southwest of Cameco‘s McArthur River Mine and 15 kilometers northeast of Cameco‘s Millennium uranium deposit. Basin Uranium commenced an initial drilling campaign on Mann Lake in April 2022.

Skyharbour also owns the South Falcon project, which covers 79,000 hectares and is located approximately 55 kilometers east of the Key Lake mine.

2021 the company also acquired by staking six new prospective uranium exploration properties in and near the Athabasca Basin. These six properties cover 147,510 hectares, bringing Skyharbour‘s total property package to 385,934 hectares.

Summary: Increased newsflow ahead!

Skyharbour Resources, with its world-class portfolio of high-grade uranium projects in the Athabasca Basin, is excellently positioned to benefit from a rising uranium price. The company is advancing its Moore Lake high-grade uranium project on the one hand, while more and more partner companies are financing the exploration and development of the other projects. In return, Skyharbour receives cash payments and shares from its partners. Valor Resources and Azincourt in particular have recently caused a sensation with real bull‘s-eyes that have yielded not only uranium but also rare earths. The Company is led by a strong management and geological team who are major shareholders with extensive capital markets experience as well as concentrated experience in uranium exploration in the Athabasca Basin. Skyharbour‘s objective is to maximize shareholder value through new mineral discoveries, committed long-term partnerships and advancing exploration projects in geopolitically favorable jurisdictions. The Company received a total of more than CA$3 million through the exercise of warrants since June 2021 and an additional CA$500,000 in fresh funds through the issuance of shares.

Exclusive interview with Jordan Trimble, CEO of Skyharbour Resources

What have you and your company achieved in the past 12 months?

Skyharbour and its partner companies have been rapidly advancing the company’s uranium project portfolio in the Athabasca Basin which was increased to over 385,000 hectares in 2021. At the 100% owned flagship Moore Uranium Project, Skyharbour completed a summer drilling program focused on the Maverick East Zone and the Grid 19 target area. The program included 6,598m in nineteen diamond drill holes highlighted by hole ML21-03 which returned 2.54% U_3O_8 over 6m including 6.80% U_3O_8 over 2m. As a part of its prospect generator business, Skyharbour brought in two new partner companies, Basin Uranium Corp. and Medaro Mining, to fund exploration at its Mann Lake and Yurchison projects while existing partners Orano, Azincourt Energy and Valor Resources continued advancing the Preston, East Preston and Hook Lake Projects respectively.

What are the most important catalysts for the next 6 to 12 months?

The primary upcoming catalysts for Skyharbour will be the results from drill programs at its Moore Project and other partner-funded projects. The Company has commenced a winter drill program at Moore testing targets at the Grid 19 area, Maverick East Zone and the Viper area. This will be the first of several fully funded phases of drilling this year at Moore.
Skyharbour’s partner Azincourt is drilling 6,000m at East Preston with plans for continued fieldwork through 2022. Valor Resources is also drilling at Hook Lake

and Basin Uranium has announced plans for a 15,000m drill program at Mann Lake bringing the total announced planned drilling to over 25,000m between Skyharbour and its partners. All of this exploration and drilling throughout 2022 will generate ample news flow and catalysts for the Company.
Finally, Skyharbour will continue to execute on its prospect generator model by acquiring projects at attractive valuations and bringing in partner companies to advance these secondary projects. The company is looking to bolster its current uranium project portfolio with additional assets this year.

How do you see the current situation on the market for uranium?

The uranium price has continued to move higher with recent developments. Supply chain concerns, geopolitical tensions and conflict, nuclear utilities facing expiring contracts, and new financial entities like Sprott, as well as uranium miners and developers buying physical material in the spot market, are adding to an already strained supply side. On the demand side, China and the USA aim to be carbon neutral by 2050-2060, with many other countries following similar carbon reduction objectives that will rely on nuclear energy. These strong underlying fundamentals, with growing demand and a major supply-side response having played out, should underpin a continued resurgence in the sector in 2022 as investors allocate capital to the nuclear and uranium mining industries as the world transitions from carbon-intensive sources of electricity to carbon-free ones.

ISIN: CA8308166096
WKN: A2AJ7J
FRA: SC1P
TSX-V: SYH
OTCQB: SYHBF

Shares outstanding: 132.7 million
Options/warrants: 52.9 million
Fully diluted: 185.6 million

Contact:
Skyharbour Resources Ltd.
777 Dunsmuir Street - Suite 1610
Vancouver, BC, V7Y 1K4, Canada

Telefon: +1-604-639-3850

info@skyharbourltd.com
www.skyharbourltd.com

Skyharbour Resources Ltd.



SRC swiss resource capital ag