Macmillan Pass: Growing a Giant





January 2022

EXPLORE • DISCOVER • DEVELOP

Cautionary Statements

The following statements are required by Canadian securities legislation:

PEA Cautionary Note:

Readers are cautioned that the PEA is preliminary in nature, it includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the PEA results will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Additional work is needed to upgrade these mineral resources to mineral reserves.

Forward-Looking Statements

This news release contains "forward-looking" statements and information relating to the Company and the Macmillan Pass Project that are based on the beliefs of Company management, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to Company management. Such statements reflect the current risks, uncertainties and assumptions related to certain factors including but not limited to, without limitations, exploration and development risks, expenditure and financing requirements, general economic conditions, changes in financial markets, the ability to properly and efficiently staff the Company's operations, the sufficiency of working capital and funding for continued operations, title matters, First Nations relations, operating hazards, political and economic factors, competitive factors, metal prices, relationships with vendors and strategic partners, governmental regulations and oversight, permitting, seasonality and weather, technological change, industry practices, and one-time events. Additional risks are set out in the Company's prospectus dated May 9, 2017 and filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Should any one or more risks or uncertainties materialize or change, or should any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results and forward-looking statements may vary materially from those described herein. The Company does not undertake to update forward-looking statements or forward-looking information, except as required by law.

NI43-101 Qualified Person:

Brandon Macdonald P.Geo ,CEO and Director of Fireweed Zinc, and a Qualified Person under the meaning of Canadian National Instrument 43-101, is responsible for the technical information in this presentation. Leon McGarry, P.Geo., Senior Resource Geologist for CSA Global Canada Geosciences Ltd. is independent of Fireweed Zinc Ltd. and a 'Qualified Person' as defined under Canadian National Instrument 43-101. Mr. McGarry is responsible for the Mineral Resource Estimate and directly related information in this presentation. Michael Makarenko, P.Eng., Project Manager for JDS Energy and Mining, Inc., is independent of Fireweed Zinc Ltd. and a 'Qualified Person' as defined under Canadian National Instrument 43-101. Mr. Makarenko is responsible for the PEA results and directly related information in this presentation.

Why Zinc? Why Fireweed?



Nascent Bull Market for Base Metals

• Recent crisis has highlighted fragility in metals market



Massive Global Stimulus Incoming

• Fiscal stimulus will focus on infrastructure which is bullish for Zinc



FWZ's Macmillan Pass is a Clear Standout

• MacPass stands above in terms of scale, economics, and upside potential



Big Names Already Paying Attention

• Teck has invested, others are watching



2021 Program was Game-Changing

• Massive potential at new "Boundary West" and beyond

What's the Prize for Zinc?

FWEDF

Ω



About Fireweed Zinc

Board of Directors

John Robins

Executive Chairman & Director

- Founder, Executive Chairman & Director of Kaminak Gold Corporation
 - \$520M T/O by Goldcorp
- Director of Elemental Royalties, K2 Gold, Bluestone Resources
 - In 2020 Mr. Robins' companies have raised >\$100M
- Winner of AMEBC's H.H. "Spud" Huestis Award 2008

Brandon Macdonald

CEO & Director

- Chairman of Commander Resources
 Ltd
- Ex Macquarie Bank
- BSc Geology UBC, MBA Oxford University
- Long history of work in Yukon including zinc projects

George Gorzynski

• VPX Impact Silver

Adrian Rothwell

- CEO Lucky Minerals
- Formerly Goldcorp

Marcus Chalk

- Principal Gencap Mining
- Formerly Scotiabank

Peter Hemstead

CFO Bluestone Resources

| Ownership | Other: 27% Close Associates: 40% | — Management: 10% | Share Structure (Dec 31) | | |
|-----------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| | | Teck: 5% Hudbay: 5% | Issued and Outstanding | 74,897,032 | |
| | | | Agent's Warrants | 214,601 | |
| | | Lbaera Capital: 8% | Investor Warrants | 7,416,737 | |
| | | Other Funds: 4% | Options | 4,892,000 | |
| | | | Performance Shares | 3,700,000 | |
| | | | Fully-Diluted | 91,120,370 | |

Macmillan Pass Location & Infrastructure



2018 Resource Update and PEA

Tom and Jason only, historical drilling plus 2017 verification program

| | | Res | ource l | Jpdate | ! | | | Preliminary Economic | : Assessment* |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Mt | Zn % | Pb % | Ag g/t | ZnEq % | | After-Tax IRR | 24% |
| Indicated | Total | 11.2 | 6.59 | 2.48 | 21.33 | 9.61 | | After-Tax NPV8 | C\$448M |
| Inferred T | otal | 39.5 | 5.84 | 3.14 | 38.15 | 10.00 | | Initial CAPEX | C\$404M |
| | | Zino | С | | Lead | Silver | | Mine Life | 18 years |
| Ind. 0. | 74Mt (: | 1.6Blbs |) 0.28 | Mt (0.6) | 2Blbs) | 7.7 MOz | , | Life-of-Mine Tonnage | 32.7 Mt |
| Inf. 2.2 | 3Mt (4. | 91Blbs |) 1.22 | Mt (2.6 | , 7Blbs) | 48.4 MOz | | * Using US\$1.21/lb Zn, \$0.98/l | b Pb, \$16.80/oz Ag |

However... Both Resource and PEA are now stale, and do not include:

 Additional drilling at Tom & Jason will both expand resource and improve grades in some zones

: FWEDF

SB

- **Boundary Zone** has no resource yet, and massive size potential
- Additional engineering to improve pits, metallurgy and optimize mine plan
- Government \$71M funding commitment to access roads, included as project CAPEX in 2018 PEA, now offset

Updated **Resource Statement** and new **Economic Studies** will reflect these improvements, and add to an already **World-Class Resource** and **Robust Mine Plan**

Macmillan Pass – 940 sq km

Boundary Zone: 100 m of 8.73% Zn from surface within 230 m of 4.51% Zn

END ZONE

JASON

Area included in 2018 Resource Update & PEA

TOM

Boundary West: 4.22% Zinc, 0.34% Lead and 25.6 g/t Silver over 76.5 m

FERTILE COD

End Zone: 4.78% Zinc, 10.17% Lead, 87g/t Silver over 11.08 m

BOUNDARY ZONE

FIREWEEDZIN TSX-V:FWZ OTCQB: FWEDF

Boundary Zone – Grade & Scale

Why is Boundary so important?

2019 Drilling:

- NB19-002:
 - 230 m of 4.51% Zn from surface
 - Including 100 m of 8.73% Zn
 - With 6.4m of 43.5% Zn
- NB19-001:
 - 230 m of 3.72% Zn from surface
 - Including 97 m of 6.08% Zn
- 2020 Drilling:
 - Demonstrated massive scale
 - Confirmed a vein stockwork
 - NB20-002:
 - 213m of 4.42% Zn
 - Including 25.58% Zn over 5.81 m
- 2021 Drilling:
 - First discovery of copper mineralization at Boundary
 - Significantly extended zinc zone down-dip
 - NB21-005:
 - 1.54% Cu, 5.42% Zn over 2 m



FIREWEEDZIN TSX-V:FWZ OTCQB: FWEDF

Boundary Zone – Mineralization Styles Mineralization is very different in character to Tom & Jason









Geological Analogue for Boundary – Red Dog

• Red Dog is an analogue and a model for exploration going forward

FWEDF

• Original exploration at Boundary did not reveal barite hosted massive sulphides



Red Dog vein ore

11



⁽From Kelley et al, 2004)



(From Leach et al, 2004)

Pre-2020 Conceptual Geology of Boundary

12



Boundary Zone Growing

Two test gravity lines were run over Boundary Zone in 2019, showing a clear response. An additional 50 line-km of gravity completed in August 2020 shows intriguing results:

- Gravity high over Boundary extends 200m east and 800m west from areas of drilling
- Drilling at western end of anomaly has successfully demonstrated presence of mineralization (assays pending)



Boundary Zone – 2021 Drilling



West Boundary: 2020 - 2021 Results

Results from West Boundary drilling have arrived and the zone shows promise.

- Upper Sequence:
 - 4.76% Zn, 0.43% Pb 18.9g/t Ag over 43.7m
- Lower Sequence:
 - 2.08% Zn over 225m
 - Inc 20m of 3.7% and 50m of 3.75%

NB21-002

- 9.91% zinc over 32.99 m
 - 23.77% zinc over 10.42 m

NB21-005:

• 1.54% Cu, 5.42% Zn over 2 m



15

FWEDF

Boundary West: New Mineralization Styles



16

New Conceptual Geology of Boundary

- Massive sulphide cap and replacement discovered at Boundary West; potentially more sphalerite rich towards feeder
- Multiple pulses of mineralization at Boundary Zone
- Long-lived hydrothermal system with overprinting episodes of mineralization; large time-integrated fluid flux
- Fault system points to **deep architecture** and crustal scale plumbing system
- High potential for forming very large deposit



Black

Barite

Black

mudstone Interbedded andstone and

siltstone Diamictite

Chert pebble conglomerate

Interbedded

idstone and siltstone

Mafic to intermediate volcaniclastic rocks

Siliceous and

calcareous mudstones

Exploration Targets

KOBUK: Mineralized erratics, gravity and soil anomaly

VOLCANIC

VOLCANIC: Lead-in-Soil anomaly, up-ice from high-grade glacial erratics

JASON

YT-NWT Border BEN: Zinc-in-soil, Sphalerite & Galena in

outcrop

TOM

Airstrip

Macmillan Pass

Whitehorse

IMPERIAL: Large Zinc-in-Soil anomaly

CORVUS

ELEVEN

IMPERIAL

,000,000

ELEVEN: Large Zinc-in-Soil anomaly with up to 1.8% Zn in soil

BOUNDAR

ZONE

KOBUK

BOG: Major structure, Historic hole intersected 11.5 m of 5.1% Zn and 8.8 m of 6.0% Zn

END ZONE

7,000,000

7,005,000

Blueprint for Value Creation



FWEDF

2018 PEA Establishes Base Case, then add:

- Government C\$71M funding of road reduces up front CAPEX
 = More NPV
- Engineering Optimizations increase pit size at Tom using new Geotech/Geochem data
 = More NPV
- Resource Expansion at both Tom & Jason improve mine life & throughput
 = More NPV
- Boundary Zone maiden resource, and integration, creates larger mine plan
 = More NPV
- Exploration Potential elsewhere blue sky, could lead to expanded mine life
 = More NPV

Near-Term Road Map

EDZINC CQB: FWEDF

TSX-

| | Exploration | Engineering | ESG |
|------------|---|---|---|
| 2022 | Drilling for new resource Expand Boundary Zone West (BZW), Boundary, Tom & Jason New Targets Geophysics (Muon), geochem. etc | Boundary Zone Metallurgical Test Results Engage Study Teams Trade-Off Studies | Expand baseline sampling programs Continuing Engagement and Consultation with First Nations and Regulators |
| 2023 | New Global Resource Tom + Jason + Boundary + BZW | Updated PEA based on new resource and new learnings | opportunities for local employment and contracts, and service agreements |
| | | | |
| Targeting: | Top 15 Zn+Pb+Ag Project Largest held by a junior, by a good margin | > Billion Dollar NPV Top 10 Production Profile 1st or 2nd Quartile Costs | De-risked project Accelerated permitting timeline Roadmap to production |
| | True " | Tier 1" Project | |

20

Contact

Brandon Macdonald CEO & Director

Email:

Phone:

Address:

brandon@fireweedzinc.com

+1 (604) 646 8360

Suite 1020 – 800 West Pender Street Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 2V6

APPENDIX: Macmillan Pass Project Timeline

Historical Era (1950s to 1990s) Discovery & Fragmented Ownership US Army Constructs **Canol Road** through **Macmillan Pass** 1944 **1951 Hudbay** discovers **Tom Deposit 1952-1969** Small exploration programs at **Tom** begin to delineate deposits there **1970** Underground Development at **Tom** Discovery of Jason Deposit by 1974 **Ogilvie JV 1982 Cominco** first drills at **Boundary Zone Cominco** explores **Tom** under option from Hudbay 1987-1991 Phelps Dodge explores Jason under option from Ogilvie JV Challenging market conditions for **1991 ¢***z***inc** lead **Cominco** and **Phelps Dodge** to both drop their options

| (| Modern Era (2000s to Now) Consolidation & Advancement |
|------|---|
| 2006 | Hudbay purchases Jason |
| 2011 | Small drill program at Tom by Hudbay |
| 2016 | FWZ acquires Tom & Jason |
| 2017 | FWZ IPOs and Drills at Tom & Jason , adds "Mac" claims from Newmont |
| 2018 | Updated resource for T&J , investment from RCF , maiden PEA Purchase of " Nidd " claims from Teck (including Boundary Zone) |
| 2019 | Teck invests in FWZ FWZ drills at Boundary Zone and shows untapped potential there |
| | Yukon Gov announces \$71M for access roads to MacPass |
| 2020 | FWZ explores and drills at Boundary Zone and expands Macmillan Pass project with new property deals to 940 sq km |
| • | |

APPENDIX: 2018 Resource

| APPEINL | אל: 20 | JTO | Resu | urc | e | 23 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Category | Total Tonnes | Zn Percent | Pb Percent | Ag gpt | 5 | |
| Indicated | 11,207,004 | 6.59% | 2.48% | 21.33 | -1-2 | |
| / Tom | 8,713,033 | 6.12% | 2.68% | 26.80 | 5 1 | |
| Tom East | 807,054 | 8.74% | 8.61% | 110.00 | X/X | |
| Tom West | 7,905,979 | 5.85% | 2.08% | 18.31 | | |
| Jason | 2,493,971 | 8.25% | 1.76% | 2.22 | the state | TOM EAST |
| Jason Main | 2,493,971 | 8.25% | 1.76% | 2.22 | | |
| Inferred | 39,464,855 | 5.84% | 3.14% | 38.15 | to the second se | |
| Tom | 23,221,808 | 6.27% | 2.96% | 36.49 | TOM NORTH | |
| Tom East | 1,677,637 | 9.86% | 12.86% | 170.00 | | |
| Tom Southeast | 293,340 | 7.08% | 3.56% | 34.84 | 1 ALA | HYE O |
| Tom West | 21,250,831 | 5.97% | 2.17% | 25.97 | | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| Jason | 16,243,047 | 5.23% | 3.39% | 40.53 | | |
| Jason Main | 7,311,264 | 6.23% | 1.07% | 6.95 | Anton BA | PANK |
| Jason South | 8,931,783 | 4.41% | 5.28% | 68.01 | | |
| R | JASON | IMAIN | | 1R | TOM WEST | |
| | the first | 53 | | 72 | | TOM SOUTHEAST |
| | A REAL | | | | | |
| TAR | JASON SC | | | 7 | | |
| 1 1 1 the | | | Jul | 1 | | 0 0.5 1 km |

APPENDIX: May 2018 PEA Production

 With more appropriate engineering assumptions pit life could be extended to 5+ years pushing underground development to after payback 24

• Potential to mine other zones, such as Boundary Zone, in parallel could significantly increase production profile



APPENDIX: May 2018 PEA CAPEX

- Initial Capex can easily be financed, particularly given likely price of a silver stream if that route is chosen
- Elimination of "off site infrastructure" cost is possible

| Pro-Production CAPEX Distribution | | Initial | Sustaining | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|----------------|
| Pre-Production CAPEA Distribution | | (C\$000) | (C\$000) | Total (C\$000) |
| | Mining | 30,300 | 378,400 | 408,700 |
| Contingency | Site Development | 12,000 | 1,100 | 13,100 |
| Off-Site | Mineral Processing | 70,600 | 5,500 | 76,100 |
| Owner Costs | Tailings Management | 32,700 | 113,900 | 146,600 |
| Site | On-Site Infrastructure | 51,400 | 14,800 | 66,200 |
| Development | Off-Site | 78 200 | 6 700 | 85,000 |
| | Infrastructure | 70,300 | 0,700 | |
| Mineral | Closure | - | 56,700 | 56,700 |
| EPCM | Direct Costs | 275,300 | 571,500 | 846,800 |
| | | | | |
| Mining | Project Indirects | 43,000 | - | 43,000 |
| | EPCM | 20,500 | - | 20,500 |
| Tailings | Indirect Costs | 63,500 | - | 63,500 |
| Mangement | | | | |
| Project | Owner's Costs | 7,000 | - | 7,000 |
| Indirects | Contingency | 58,600 | 72,300 | 130,900 |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX: May 2018 PEA OPEX

- On-site and Off-site costs combine for a competitive all-in cost structure on production
- Despite remote location access to Asian Smelters and Teck's smelter in British Columbia is available yearround without being cost prohibitive

| Operating Costs | | | |
|--|-------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| OP Mining | C\$/t | mined | \$4.45 |
| UG Mining | C\$/t | mined | \$52.02 |
| Processing | C\$/t | | \$22.92 |
| G&A | C\$/t | | \$10.37 |
| All-In OPEX | C\$/t | | \$82.00 |
| Costs per | | Net of | Co |
| | | $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{-}$ | |
| Payable lb Zn | | Product | Product |
| Payable lb Zn Cash Cost (inc Offsite Cos | ts) | Product US\$0.47 | Product US\$0.76 |

| | | A MARKED A DI RUSARSTAN | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Offsite Charges | Units | Zinc Con | Lead Con |
| Transport to Smelter | C\$/wmt conc. | \$211.85 | \$211.85 |
| Smelter Treatment Charge | US\$/dmt conc. | \$190.00 | \$170.00 |
| Silver Refining | US\$/oz | \$1.50 | \$1.50 |
| Mercury (Hg) Penalty | US\$/dmt conc. | \$0.96 | NA |
| Silica (SiO ₂) Penalty | US\$/dmt conc. | \$2.00 | NA |
| | | | |

APPENDIX: May 2018 PEA Metallurgy

- Feed is very amenable to standard processing methods consistent with other SEDEX mines
- This metallurgy applies to Tom & Jason only, no met tests have been done on Boundary, which is a much coarser grained system.
- Standard comminution and flotation flow sheet including:
 - 2 crusher, 1 SAG mill, 1 ball mill
 - Stirred mills for regrind
 - Selective two and three-stage flotation to produce Zn and Pb concentrates
- Primary Grind to 50um, Secondary to:
 - 15um for Pb

FWEDF

• 25um for Zn

- Low Energy Consumption for Grinding
 - SCSE of 7.82 and 9.2 kWh/t
 - BWi from 8.8 to 14.0 kWh/t
- Attractive Concentrate
 - High Grade
 - Low iron in concentrates: 1.5% Fe in zinc concentrate
 - Potential modest penalties on Hg (155pm) and SiO2 (4%) in Zn Con

| Product | Assay Grade | | | Recovery % | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------|--------|------------|------|-----|
| | Zn % | Pb % | Ag g/t | Zn | Pb | Ag |
| Flotation Feed | 7.29 | 3.22 | 44 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Zinc Concentrate | 58.4 | 2.2 | 88 | 88.9 | 7.5 | 22 |
| Lead Concentrate | 8.9 | 61.5 | 688 | 4.8 | 75.4 | 59 |

Resource Expansion: Tom North

Tom North Provides Potential Open Pit Mine Life

- No drilling since 1978 (one hole); most holes in 1951 and 1952
 - Tom North was not included in 2018 resource update
- Intersections of up to 22.5 m at 6.1% Zn, 1.0%
 Pb in short holes
 - Shallow intersections suggest potential amenability to open-pit
- 2019 Drilling successfully hit on 7 holes
 - Inferred resource now possible
 - May add >1 year to open pit mine life



Resource Expansion: Tom East

Tom East Surprises with More High-Grade

| 2018 TOM EAST ZONE DRILL RESULTS | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Hole No. | Interval (m) | Zinc (%) | Lead (%) | Silver (g/t) | | | | |
| TS18-004 | 16.41 | 21.14 | 13.55 | 242.8 | | | | |
| Including | 8.70 | 23.88 | 19.42 | 332.9 | | | | |
| Including | 3.00 | 35.66 | 18.49 | 312.7 | | | | |
| Including | 1.55 | 15.57 | 35.65 | 542.1 | | | | |

• Tom East Zone may be folded

FWEDF

Δ

- Structural thickening or higher grades in hinge-zones of folds?
- Tom East remains open at depth
 - Previously was thought to terminate at depth
 - Is there further upside potential in this high-grade zone?



Resource Expansion: Jason Syncline

Low Hanging Fruit for Expanding Jason

- Syncline remains untested at depth
 - Connecting two sides of Jason may yield a significant amount of additional resource tonnage
 - Possible structural thickening at hinge and enrichment
- Lower Jason South Zone is now understood to be a fault offset of Jason South
 - No follow-up on high-grade intersections in offset zone, eg:
 - 13.8 m of 7.2% Zn, 5.3% Pb, 118 g/t Ag
 - 9.2 m of 1.6% Zn, 16.5% Pb, 92 g/t Ag
 - These intersections sit outside the 2018 Resource Statement
 - Additional drilling here should add high-grade tonnes

